

# **Draft Lake Ozette Sockeye Limiting Factors Analysis**

**April 24, 2008  
Version 9\_9**

**Prepared by:**

**Mike Haggerty  
242 Whiskey Creek Beach Road  
Port Angeles, WA 98363**

**With Contributions from:**

**Andy Ritchie  
Jeff Shellberg  
Mike Crewson  
Jyrki Jalonen**

**Prepared for:**

**The Makah Indian Tribe and the NOAA Fisheries, in Cooperation With the Lake  
Ozette Sockeye Steering Committee**

**DISCLAIMER:**

**April 2008 Draft of the Lake Ozette Sockeye Limiting Factors Analysis (LFA).**

**The LFA describes and evaluates limiting factors affecting the survival and productivity of Lake Ozette sockeye salmon. Current habitat conditions and limiting factors in the Ozette River, the lake, and tributaries are a function of the cumulative effects of all past activities. Where the LFA describes habitat impacts from forestry-related activities, this description refers to past activities and not to future activities conducted under the Washington State Forest Practices Habitat Conservation Plan (FPHCP). The effects of implementation of the FPHCP on sockeye habitat and population levels can only be determined from an intensive future monitoring program. It is the goal of the LFA to provide guidance as to where and how this monitoring could be most informative.**

**Many hypotheses presented within the Ozette LFA are supported by substantial data. Others require additional investigation. A scientific hypothesis must be reasonable, have a definable null hypothesis, and be testable. It is not necessary, nor is it possible, to have sufficient data to confirm or refute the hypothesis at the time that it is formulated.**

**The authors are committed to the recovery of Lake Ozette sockeye, and we believe that this is possible only with a thorough and accurate understanding of all of the factors limiting sockeye productivity and their interrelationships. The LFA establishes a reasonable set of hypotheses based upon available information and promotes the concept of future research aimed at testing these hypotheses. We firmly believe that this approach is consistent with the best available science, and, at the same time, we welcome and will carefully consider all substantive comments.**

**PREFERRED CITATION:**

Haggerty, M.J., Ritchie, A.C., Shellberg, J.G., Crewson, M.J., and Jalonon, J. 2008.  
Draft Lake Ozette Sockeye Limiting Factors Analysis: Version 9\_9. Prepared  
for the Makah Indian Tribe and NOAA Fisheries in Cooperation with the Lake  
Ozette Sockeye Steering Committee, Port Angeles, WA. Available at:  
[http://www.mhaggertyconsulting.com/Lake\\_Ozette\\_Sockeye.php](http://www.mhaggertyconsulting.com/Lake_Ozette_Sockeye.php)

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

### **PURPOSE**

This report summarizes previously available information relating to factors limiting the survival and productivity of Lake Ozette sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*), presents and summarizes new information and data, and comprehensively analyzes factors potentially limiting sockeye salmon productivity and recovery. Lake Ozette sockeye salmon were listed as threatened under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) in 1999. This report represents an important step in identifying factors that need to be addressed to rebuild the sockeye salmon population to a healthy level, helping to fulfill a local management goal that has stood for many decades. In addition, the report provides critical information on factors limiting sockeye productivity and viability that the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) has used to complete a recovery plan for the Lake Ozette sockeye, as required by the ESA.

### **BACKGROUND**

Historically, Lake Ozette, the Ozette River, and tributaries draining into the lake were important sources of salmon available for harvest in regional fisheries by the Makah Indian Tribe (Swindell 1941; Gustafson et al. 1997) and European settlers in the area. Within the greater Lake Ozette ecosystem and Olympic National Park (ONP), Lake Ozette sockeye salmon are a critical component of biological integrity, linking freshwater, marine, and terrestrial ecosystems.

The decline in harvest of Lake Ozette sockeye salmon from a high of more than 17,500 fish in 1949 (Washington Department of Fisheries 1955) to a low of 0 in 1974 and 1975 (Jacobs et al. 1996) catalyzed research into the limiting factors affecting Lake Ozette sockeye salmon. In 1976, the Makah Tribe requested assistance from the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) to determine the preferred and observed freshwater habitat conditions of Lake Ozette sockeye, and assistance from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) to determine the sockeye's habitat status and limiting factors. These requests resulted in studies by Bortleson and Dion (1979) and Dlugokenski et al. (1981), studies that provided a tremendous amount of baseline data but did little to determine the primary factors affecting the decline and/or recovery of the sockeye population.

In 1981, the first meeting of the Lake Ozette Sockeye Steering Committee was convened. Initial participants included the Makah Tribe, ONP, USFWS, Washington Department of Fisheries, the University of Washington, and Crown-Zellerbach Corporation. The initial focus was on hatchery supplementation as a potential means to quickly bolster sockeye abundance from depressed levels. The committee met over the next two years and helped to establish the Umbrella Creek hatchery. However, multi-agency recovery efforts waned. Between 1983 and 1993, few meetings were held and only a few independent studies were conducted on Lake Ozette sockeye salmon (Blum 1988; Beauchamp and LaRiviere 1993). In 1994, ONP funded a study to compile existing data on Lake Ozette sockeye and assemble a panel of experts to make recommendations on monitoring and management. Despite being the most comprehensive document of the time, the resulting

report by Jacobs et al. (1996) was unable to specifically define the population limiting factors and concluded that the population decline was likely the result of a series of cumulative impacts including (in no order of priority): 1) introduced species, 2) predation, 3) loss of tributary spawning populations, 4) decline in the quality of beach spawning habitat, 5) short-term unfavorable ocean conditions, 6) historical over-fishing, 7) introduced disease, and 8) a combination of factors.

In 1999, the NMFS listed Lake Ozette sockeye salmon as threatened under the Endangered Species Act (64 FR 14528; 70 FR 37160). Lake Ozette Chinook (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) and chum (*Oncorhynchus keta*) salmon populations are not currently ESA-listed, but both populations are nearly extinct or functionally extinct. Bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*) are historically absent from the Lake Ozette watershed. Largely as a result of the 1999 ESA listing, multi-agency efforts to coordinate research and recovery planning resumed, and the Lake Ozette Sockeye Steering Committee was reorganized and expanded to include NMFS, as well as local landowners and other interested parties. The Lake Ozette Steering Committee initiated the development of a Hatchery and Genetic Management Plan (HGMP)/Joint Resource Management Plan (JRMP) for Lake Ozette Sockeye Salmon (Makah Fisheries Management 2000). Work also began on the Limiting Factors Analysis (LFA) report in 1999. NMFS approved the HGMP in 2004.

The HGMP and draft LFA have been used as guides for interim research and monitoring until the Final LFA and the NMFS Lake Ozette Sockeye Salmon Recovery Plan could be completed. The Makah Tribe, Olympic National Park, and co-managers have recently implemented over a dozen detailed field investigations designed to increase understanding of the spatial distribution of anadromous fish and the habitat limiting factors in Lake Ozette and its tributaries. Additional funding made it possible to complete the LFA report in late 2004.

## **ORGANIZATION OF LIMITING FACTORS ANALYSIS**

Within the context of this report, limiting factors are defined as physical, biological, or chemical conditions (e.g., inadequate spawning habitat, insufficient prey resources, or deleterious suspended sediment concentrations) experienced by sockeye at the spawning aggregation scale that result in a reduction in viable salmonid population (VSP) parameters (abundance, productivity, spatial structure, and diversity). Key limiting factors are those with the greatest adverse impacts on a population's ability to reach its desired status. Factors responsible for the decline of the population (factors for decline) may or may not be current limiting factors, since certain activities that may have contributed to decline may no longer be operating (e.g. commercial sockeye harvest). This report is not intended to be a review of previous factors for decline, but instead represents a thorough investigation of factors currently limiting VSP parameters.

The report is divided into seven main sections:

- Introduction (Chapter 1)
- Fish Populations of the Lake Ozette Watershed (Chapter 2)
- The Sockeye Salmon Population (Chapter 3)
- Habitat Conditions Affecting Lake Ozette Sockeye (Chapter 4)
- Limiting Factors Affecting Lake Ozette Sockeye (Chapter 5)
- Analysis of Limiting Factors (Chapter 6)
- Research, Monitoring, and Evaluation Needs (Chapter 7)

Limiting factors affecting sockeye salmon are discussed by geographical area and life history stage. Factors are rated for degree of impact and presented as a series of hypotheses and sub-hypotheses. These hypotheses are intended to serve as the scientific foundation for identifying recovery actions in the Lake Ozette sockeye recovery plan.

## **WATERSHED SETTING**

The Lake Ozette watershed (88.4mi<sup>2</sup>) is located along the coastal plain of the northwest tip of the Olympic Peninsula in Washington State. Lake Ozette is a monomictic and oligotrophic-to-mesotrophic lake, which drains to the Pacific Ocean through the very low gradient, sinuous, 5.3-mile-long Ozette River. Lake Ozette is the third largest (7,550 acres) natural lake in Washington State. It has average and maximum depths of 130 feet and 320 feet, respectively, and the observed water surface elevation fluctuates from 30.8 to 41.5 feet above mean sea level. The tributary drainage basin area is 77 mi<sup>2</sup>, drained by several large tributaries and numerous smaller tributaries.

Lake Ozette watershed geology is a mix of gently sloping glacial deposits, hilly sedimentary rock, and steep volcanic flows and breccias. The temperate coastal-marine climate is characterized by cool summers, mild wet winters, and an average annual precipitation of 102.6 inches. The watershed is predominantly forested by coastal temperate rain forest conifer and hardwood species. Tributary streamflow is highly variable, similar to other perennial rain-dominated streams in the region with little snow storage.

Land use in the watershed has ranged from traditional Native American management of old-growth forest, to European settler homesteading along the lake and stream valleys, to commercial timber production and National Park management. Currently, land ownership in the watershed is 73% private land, 15% Olympic National Park, 11% Washington State, and 1% Tribal. Private timber companies own approximately 93% of the four largest tributaries to Lake Ozette. Timber harvest levels accelerated over the period of record, with 8.7% of the watershed area clear-cut by 1953, increasing to 83.6% of the watershed area clear-cut by 2003. Natural disturbance in the watershed was dominated by wind and hydrogeomorphic events, while contemporary disturbance additionally includes timber harvest, road construction and maintenance, residential and agricultural development, channelization and direct and indirect stream wood clearance.

## FISH POPULATIONS IN THE LAKE OZETTE WATERSHED

The Lake Ozette fish community includes a rich array of approximately 25 species of fishes. There are seven species of salmonids present in the lake system and 18 non-salmonid fish species, of which five are exotic. In addition to sockeye, these other species are important indicators of ecosystem health, and thus this report includes summary information and data for many of them. For species that are potential competitors with or predators of sockeye salmon, additional information on habitat utilization, diets, and relationships to sockeye salmon are included. Of these species, the most important competitors are kokanee salmon (non-anadromous *Oncorhynchus nerka*) and threespine stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*), while the most important predators are coho salmon juveniles (*O. kisutch*), cutthroat trout (*O. clarki*), sculpin (*Cottus Spp*), northern pike minnow (*Ptychocheilus oregonensis*) and largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*). While few data are available regarding non-salmonid population integrity, data on other salmonid populations inhabiting the basin over the past century indicate either generally decreasing population trends over time (*O. tshawytscha*; *O. keta*; *O. kisutch*) similar to sockeye, or static or unknown trends (non-anadromous *O. nerka*, *O. mykiss*). Coho salmon have shown small but significant population increases during recent years but are still well below historical abundance levels.

## SOCKEYE SALMON POPULATION LIFE HISTORY AND STATUS

Ozette sockeye life histories are described and evaluated assuming a single population divided into seven life history phases:

1. Adult sockeye entering the system (April-July)
2. Adult holding in the lake (April-January)
3. Spawning (October-January) and incubation (October-March)
4. Fry emergence and dispersal (March-April)
5. Juvenile freshwater rearing (Multi-year)
6. Seaward migration (March-June)
7. Marine/ocean phase (Multi-year)

Two spawning groups (i.e., beach-spawning and tributary-spawning) are discussed independently during their spawning, incubation, emergence and dispersal phases. Sockeye immigration into and through the Ozette River typically peaks in early June, with short residence times in the river (average transit time equal to ~65 hours). Nighttime migration predominates during low lake/river levels, while higher lake/river levels result in increased daylight migration. Extensive lake holding occurs below the thermocline at minimum depths ranging from 30 to 100 ft for about six months, until the lake turns over and de-stratifies at the onset of the wet season.

The timing of sockeye salmon adult entrance and holding in tributaries is largely controlled by streamflow increases during the onset of the wet season (generally in October). A majority of tributary spawners use Umbrella Creek, with additional fish spawning in Big River and Crooked Creek. Fish typically spawn in late November in

gravel riffles and glides and less commonly in pools, alcoves, and side channels. Average female fecundity is 3,050 eggs with fish size ranging from 430 to 690 mm, which is similar to beach spawning sockeye. Tributary incubation temperatures typically range from 3-8°C, with fry emergence occurring 100-130 days after fertilization.

There are two known active beach spawning sites along the shores of Lake Ozette: Allen's Beach and Olsen's Beach. Historically, the beach just north of the confluence with Umbrella Creek (i.e., Umbrella Beach) was also used for spawning. Other locations around the lake are hypothesized to have provided spawning habitat. Beach staging begins in mid- to late October, with spawning beginning as early as November and ending in late January or early February. Habitat usage varies considerably between and within the two beaches, with core, concentrated, and dispersed spawning sites. At Olsen's Beach, competition is intense for the small core spawning area where upwelling groundwater occurs through small gravel and sand. Concentrated sites surround the core site in substrate lacking upwelling and ranging from cobble/large gravel to coarse sand and silt. Substrate and spawning sites are often surrounded by or found within large patches of submerged shrub vegetation. Dispersed sites are scattered along long stretches of beach, and are at a remove from core and concentrated spawning areas. Beach slopes used for sockeye salmon spawning range from 2% to 15%. Spawning is concentrated in the middle elevation beach in 2 to 6 ft of water, with redds observed at depths up to 20 ft in concentrated sites. Spawning along Allen's Beach is significantly more dispersed than on Olsen's Beach, with at least one area of concentrated spawning. Substrate varies from silt and sand at the south beach to gravel and cobble-gravel mix in the north. Spawning depths range from 1 to 33 ft, with several spawning sites associated with seeps and springs. Incubation temperatures are warmer on the beaches than the tributaries (6-10°C), especially in groundwater upwelling sites, resulting in shortened incubation periods to time of fry swim up (~100 days).

Beach fry dispersal after emergence is assumed to consist of a rapid migration to the limnetic zone; however, additional data are needed on sockeye fry behavior during this life phase. Downstream tributary fry dispersal and movement after emergence corresponds with streamflow and appears to occur predominantly at night soon after emergence. Immediate limnetic rearing is assumed, but littoral data are lacking. In offshore rearing areas sockeye salmon mix with kokanee salmon, and the two *O. nerka* races become morphologically indistinguishable.

The year-round primary prey of juvenile sockeye/kokanee salmon is *Daphnia pulicaria*, with additional consumption of benthic invertebrates, adult insects, and copepods. Juvenile sockeye and all year classes of kokanee consume less than 1% of the monthly standing stock of *Daphnia pulicaria* > 1.0 mm in size, suggesting that food available for rearing fish is not limiting *O. nerka* productivity.

At the onset of their spring-time seaward migration, sockeye smolts migrate along the nearshore lake environment and emigrate down the Ozette River predominantly at night. More than 99% of the juvenile sockeye salmon emigrating from the lake to ocean are age 1+, indicating that few juvenile sockeye rear in the lake for more than one summer. Lake

Ozette sockeye salmon smolts are large, averaging between 11.3 to 13.0 cm fork length, making them the third largest yearling sockeye smolts in the world. Little is known about the behavior of Lake Ozette sockeye immediately after smolt emigration to sea. The Lake Ozette system does not include a sizeable estuary, but the nearshore region surrounding the mouth of the Ozette River is an extensive, complex, and shallow sub-tidal environment, with high apparent productivity for sockeye salmon, despite the presence of many marine piscine predators. Few data are available regarding Lake Ozette sockeye salmon ocean distribution, and their distribution and behavior during this life history phase must be extrapolated from studies of other sockeye salmon populations.

Generally, juvenile sockeye are present close to shore from Cape Flattery to Yakutat in July and August, and scarce to absent in areas farther offshore. Juvenile sockeye remain primarily inshore through October, before moving offshore in late autumn or winter. In Bristol Bay where inner coastal waters are less productive than offshore waters, juvenile sockeye migrate to the outer Bay within 2 to 6 weeks. They remain in the outer bay for an undetermined length of time, staying near the coast during migration. Average marine survival rates for Lake Ozette sockeye are thought to be relatively high (15-17%). The vast majority of Lake Ozette sockeye spend 2 to 2.25 years at sea before returning to the lake, but some return after only one year, and others remain at sea for as many as three years.

Out-of-basin origin hatchery sockeye were released into Lake Ozette episodically between 1936 and 1983 through transplants derived from Baker Lake and Lake Quinault broodstocks. All subsequent hatchery stocking efforts have relied on within-basin broodstock sources. Based partially on recommendations of Dlugokenski et al. (1981), the Umbrella Creek Hatchery was established in 1983 as a tool to reintroduce and rebuild sockeye populations in the Ozette tributaries. Broodstock were collected from Olsen's Beach almost every year between 1983 and 1999. Spawners collected from Allen's Beach were also occasionally used as broodstock during this span. On average, 100 adults were collected for spawning each year. Eyed eggs and fry grown from these egg sources were released into Lake Ozette and major tributaries to the lake during this time.

After the ESA listing of sockeye in 1999, the Makah Tribe and WDFW worked with NMFS to assemble a Hatchery and Genetic Management Plan that would adequately protect the listed population and would be used to guide all hatchery-based sockeye salmon restoration actions. The HGMP stipulated that, beginning in 2000, the collection of broodstock from spawning beaches for hatchery production would cease, and broodstock for the supplementation program would be collected from adult sockeye salmon returns to Umbrella Creek. Juvenile fish were only to be released into Umbrella Creek and Big River.

However, implementation of the HGMP alone will not result in recovery of Lake Ozette sockeye salmon. The HGMP is part of the overall comprehensive recovery plan/process that integrates hatchery supplementation and reintroduction efforts with habitat protection, assessment, and restoration so that hatchery and habitat components can work in concert to promote sockeye recovery. NMFS (2004) concluded that the hatchery



program was not likely to increase the spatial structure of the beach spawning aggregations within Lake Ozette, but that the tributary-based hatchery program was likely to increase the spatial structure of the ESU as a whole and increase life history diversity and the resiliency of the population. Determinations of whether and how to supplement or reintroduce lake spawning aggregations will be made after further research in this area.

The Lake Ozette sockeye salmon ESU is believed to have been historically composed of a single population with substantial sub-structuring of individuals into multiple spawning aggregations (BRT 2003). Gustafson et al. (1997) described the Lake Ozette sockeye salmon population as genetically distinct from all other sockeye salmon stocks in the Northwest. Hawkins (2004) found that there was very little genetic difference among the sockeye spawning aggregations at Olsen's Beach, Allen's Beach, and Umbrella Creek. However, the author found significant genetic differences between cohort lineages along the predominant 4-year brood cycle and found that those lineages were most closely related among common brood years, independent of sampling locations. Hawkins (2004) described the Lake Ozette kokanee population structure as likely one panmictic group, having found no genetic differences among the sample collections (between locations or brood years) within the study. Sockeye and kokanee-sized *O. nerka* are known to interact during the spawning phase on both beaches and in the tributaries; however, visual observations may confuse kokanee and residual, jack, or hybrid sockeye salmon. Hawkins (2004) indicated that hybridization between sockeye and kokanee is persistent but of low enough frequency to maintain the large genetic differences observed between these two *O. nerka* races.

Only marginal data are available for estimating historical escapement levels for Lake Ozette sockeye. Partial weir counts, lacking any harvest data, exist for the period from 1924 to 1926, making it impossible to estimate total run size for this period (Kemmerich 1945). Between 1948 and 1976, harvest data were collected (WDF 1955), but no escapement data were collected for the same period, creating substantial uncertainty regarding run sizes during this period. Blum (1988) speculated that the Lake Ozette sockeye run size exceeded 50,000 fish prior to the 1940s. Over a 20-year period, Lake Ozette sockeye harvests went from several thousand per year to zero, with insignificant (<100) to no fish harvested annually between 1973 to present.

Contemporary (1977 to present) run size estimating methods as fish enter the lake from the Ozette River have varied significantly from nighttime weir counts (1977-1981); 24-hour counts with a river-spanning picket weir with live trap attached (1982, 1984, 1986); visual nighttime counts and daytime/weekend closures using a river-spanning picket weir (1988-1992; 1994-1997); 24-hour counts with a river-spanning picket weir with an underwater video camera and time-lapse VCR and backup visual observations (1998-2001); and 24-hour counts with a river-spanning picket weir with an underwater video camera, time-lapse VCR, and backup computer hard drive digital images (2002-present). Substantial differences in older methods limited the quality of data collected and therefore likely underestimated run sizes.

Total annual lake entry run-size estimates from 1977 to present have ranged from 385 to 5,075 adult sockeye. The annual run size, considered over three periods reflecting differing census methods, has averaged 1,132 fish for 1977-1995, 2,590 fish for 1996-1999, and 4,600 fish for 2000-2003. While these run-size estimates represent the best available data, they should be used with extreme caution since the quality of estimates for many early years is poor at best (see Section 3.4). Independent estimates of the minimum number of fish spawning on Ozette beaches has increased from a low of six spawning sockeye after extensive surveys in 1989, to 32 fish in 1993, 236 fish in 1997, and 466 fish in 2002.

Much of the increase in total Ozette River run size is likely a result of increased adult returns from Umbrella Creek Hatchery releases and increased natural production in Umbrella Creek. For example, nearly 210,000 brood year (BY) 1996 fed fry and fingerlings were released into Umbrella Creek in 1997, which subsequently comprised a large portion of the brood year 2000 adult run. In addition, the estimated numbers of smolts emigrating from the lake in 2002, 2003, and 2004 from the smolt trap were dramatically higher than any past year's estimates.

Sockeye spawning ground surveys in Umbrella Creek initially recorded low numbers of fish (<50) from 1988 to 1994, with recent peak adult counts ranging from 44 to 1,709 adults from 1995 to 2004. Total run-size estimates in Umbrella Creek have recently ranged from 1,709 to 4,442 adults from 2000 through 2004, which represented 34 to 68% or more of the total estimated Lake Ozette sockeye adult run size. Estimates of adult sockeye run size for Big River and Crooked Creek are not as accurate due to less survey effort and no tag and recapture program. Pending the onset of supplementation program origin adult returns to Big River, numbers of returning fish to these tributaries have remained at low levels.

Sockeye productivity estimates are limited by the quantity and quality of the population data described above. For 1988 and 1990, Jacobs et al. (1996) estimated marine survival at 27% and 18%, spawner-recruit ratios at 0.99 and 1.89, and smolts-per-spawner ratios at 3.6 and 10.5, respectively. From recent data at Umbrella Creek, natural origin recruits per spawner estimates ranged from 0.9 to 3.3 from 2000 through 2002, averaging 1.9. Total survival from hatchery fingerling release to adult return to Umbrella Creek for return years 1999, 2000, 2002, and 2004 was estimated to be 2.03%, 1.47%, 0.81% and 0.49% respectively. Total survival from smolt to spawner for 2004 Umbrella Creek marked hatchery sockeye was estimated to be 15.5%.

## **HABITAT CONDITIONS AFFECTING LAKE OZETTE SOCKEYE**

This report describes in detail the habitat conditions encountered by Lake Ozette sockeye salmon spawning aggregations at different life history stages in marine, estuary, and freshwater habitats. Known habitat conditions and data are described, while data gaps are highlighted.

### **NEARSHORE HABITAT**

Nearshore physical habitat in the vicinity of the Ozette River is characterized by a gently sloping marine shore platform with abundant boulders and outcrops of resistant rock intermixed by beaches (sand to cobble) fed by bluffs and tributaries. The remote and relatively pristine condition of the shoreline in the vicinity of the Ozette River is reflected by a complex nearshore habitat that supports a wide diversity and abundance of marine life. The Ozette River estuary is small relative to nearby estuaries (<4,600 feet long by 120 feet wide), and is currently partially constricted by a gravel spit. Beyond photo evidence of significant growth of this spit over the last 50 years, little documentation of current and/or historical estuary conditions exist to allow for an assessment of effects on sockeye salmon growth and survival.

### **OZETTE RIVER HABITAT**

The Ozette River is unique relative to other rivers on the Olympic Peninsula due to its very low gradient (0.1%) over its 5.3 mile journey, dropping only 32 feet in elevation from the outlet of Lake Ozette to the Pacific Ocean. The lake moderates the seasonal flow regime of the Ozette River dramatically, with flows ranging from less than 4 cfs to 2,000 cfs. Lake Ozette traps and prevents entrance of nearly all lake tributary sediment into the Ozette River, making bank and bed erosion, a handful of small tributaries, and Coal Creek (largest tributary) the only contemporary sources of sediment. The active river channel averages approximately 100 feet in width, with varying depths and wetted widths controlled by the water elevation of its source, Lake Ozette. The river maintains a semi-rhythmic sequence of riffles and pools, with the latter often controlled by large wood jams and the former often covered with two species of native mussels, freshwater sponges, and aquatic insects. Floodplains are relatively narrow with steep banks for much of the length. Floodplains are covered by dense conifer forest, various shrubs and wetland plants. Wetland plants include reed canary grass, an invasive plant which colonizes disturbed areas.

Besides tributaries to Ozette River, the river's entire length is now protected by either the ONP or the Makah Tribe's wilderness designation. Historically, human disturbances along the Ozette River were limited to homesteading, and later, tourist development near the lake outlet, cedar salvage along the lower river, and direct removal of instream large wood debris (LWD) along much of the river but concentrated near the lake outlet. Wood removal from Ozette River began in the late 1800s at a small scale, with most wood removed from the upper homestead area by the early 1900s. In 1952, the Washington Department of Fisheries (Kramer 1953) conducted wholesale clearing of wood from the

river and removed 26 separate log concentrations. Local residents continued to clear wood from the river until the mid-1980s, when the practice was banned.

As a consequence of wood removal, pool conditions in Ozette River are impaired, with large stretches devoid of functional LWD, and with an associated loss of fish holding, rearing, and spawning habitat. The river is incapable of moving most large wood, but it will take decades to centuries for wood loads to fully recover. As a less apparent consequence, wood removal has resulted in less hydraulic roughness, reduced instream water depths, and reduced backwater effects on Lake Ozette, which has thus altered the entire hydraulic control on Lake Ozette levels and changed the in-river stage-discharge relationship. More recently, deposition of sediment originating from Coal Creek at the lake outlet has further altered lake and river levels.

Water quality conditions in Ozette River are good, except for sediment and temperature, which are affected by tributaries and the lake itself, respectively. Large amounts of fine (sand and silt) and coarse sediment are delivered to Ozette River by Coal Creek during floods, altering the local lake outlet control and substrate conditions, as well as downstream habitat conditions. Peak and 7-day average temperatures in the river regularly exceed 22 to 23°C respectively. High water temperatures observed in the Ozette River appear to be a natural condition caused by solar heating of Lake Ozette surface waters and climatic variability. Downstream cooling is minimal (less than 2°C).

## **LAKE OZETTE HABITAT**

Lake Ozette habitat conditions are important to numerous life history stages of sockeye. Beyond providing key habitat for juvenile sockeye rearing, the lake's habitat is an integration of all cumulative upstream watershed conditions. The lake environment also controls habitat conditions downstream through the Ozette River to the ocean.

Lake productivity, and more specifically production of abundant phytoplankton and zooplankton, varies seasonally in the oligotrophic to mesotrophic lake and is a critical component of the overall sockeye smolt production because of the smolts' reliance on zooplankton. Limnological research indicates that abundant food supplies are available for juvenile sockeye salmon during their rearing period. Studies completed in the 1980s indicated that consumption demand by kokanee and juvenile sockeye rearing in the lake is satisfied by less than one percent of the instantaneous production of their preferred prey, large *Daphnia* (*Daphnia sp.*) throughout the growing season. In addition, Ozette sockeye smolts are the third largest (by length and weight) yearling sockeye smolts documented in the recorded literature, providing additional evidence that zooplankton populations are not limiting sockeye productivity.

The beach spawning sockeye salmon aggregations are a key component of the Ozette sockeye population. Shoreline conditions and potential sockeye spawning habitat vary greatly, both spatially and temporally, around the 36.5 mile lake perimeter, as determined by beach topography and slope, substrate size distribution, groundwater and hyporheic flow paths into beach gravel, wind fetch, fine sediment concentrations, tributary position,

shoreline vegetation, riparian condition, lake level and hydroperiod, shoreline development, and other factors. The habitat review focuses on the two remaining sockeye spawning beaches (Olsen's Beach and Allen's Beach), in addition to known historical spawning locations (Baby Island and Umbrella Beach). However, it is important to note that current and recent spawning locations, as well as vegetation and substrate conditions along the lake shoreline, may not be representative of past spawning distribution and shoreline conditions. Historically, high quality spawning habitat was likely provided by numerous hydrogeomorphic situations around the lake:

- Beach spawning habitat maintained by wind- and wave-driven currents.
- Beach spawning habitat maintained by upwelling hyporheic- or ground-water in gravel or sand substrate.
- Beach spawning at or near tributary inlet deltas maintained by upwelling hyporheic flow or groundwater, and clean gravel with minimal fine sediment inputs from tributaries.

Currently, lake spawners use beach spawning habitat irrigated by wave-driven currents and/or upwelling hyporheic flows or groundwater. Seeps and springs have been mapped on both Olsen's and Allen's beaches, and appear to be areas where spawning activity is concentrated, with dispersed areas of spawning in non-upwelling areas. Zones of upwelling are warmer than non-upwelling areas during sockeye incubation and significantly cooler during summer months.

Substrate along Olsen's and Allen's beaches is a heterogeneous mixture of organic detritus, clay mud, silt, fine sand, coarse sand, pebbles, gravel, cobble, and rubble. Core spawning areas are typically located in a framework of gravel, with various levels of matrix finer sediment. Dozens of bulk gravel samples from each beach indicate that fine (<0.85mm in diameter) sediment concentrations in gravels are high, ranging from 7.0% to 72.7% of the total substrate composition. Fine sediment concentrations averaged 27.0% at Olsen's Beach, ranging from 4.6% to 44.3% in areas sampled. Allen's Beach fine sediment averaged 24.6% of total substrate composition. Fine sediment concentrations at the Umbrella Beach delta currently exceed 50%.

Due to seasonal fluctuations in lake level, vegetation (e.g., sweet gale, sedges, grass) often occupies the mid- to upper-elevations of both main spawning beaches and other lake margins. This vegetation is very effective at trapping fine sediment. Sockeye may spawn in and around this vegetation when it is submerged by high lake levels during the dormant season. Aerial photography analysis has estimated a 56% average decrease in unvegetated (bare substrate) shoreline around the lake from 1953 to 2003. At Olsen's and Allen's beaches, the decrease bare substrate shoreline was measured to be higher than average for other shoreline areas, at 66 % and 67%, respectively. Potential causal mechanisms for decreases in unvegetated shoreline include a reduction in elk shoreline grazing pressure early in the twentieth century and alterations in lake level regime and hydroperiod because of modifications in lake outlet hydraulics (e.g., Ozette River LWD removal) and lake inflow hydrology.

Riparian conditions (above typical high lake levels) around the lake are generally good to excellent, because of the retention of primary forest on the western shoreline and forest management measures providing a narrow buffer of mature trees on the eastern shoreline between the lake and adjacent clear-cuts. However, exceptions exist where the county road parallels the shoreline, where development (cabins, ranger station) has occurred, where old railroad grades exist, and where old homesteading cleared large conifer trees.

The hydrology of Lake Ozette has been poorly studied over the contemporary settlement period, but an assortment of lake level, climate, and hydrology data have been collected at various locations in the watershed and coastal region, which have been massed together to highlight major physical patterns. A stage gage at the lake outlet has been maintained semi-consistently from 1976 to 2006. Similar to regional precipitation patterns, Lake Ozette stage (which has a range of 12 ft) is typically at a maximum between December and February and at a minimum in September annually. The average peak-lake-stage timing typically lags behind average tributary-peak-discharge timing by several weeks. Annually, climatic variability has a strong effect on lake stage variability, similar to rainfall. Peak lake stages are highly correlated with total winter rainfall, while minimum lake stages are highly correlated with total summer rainfall and evaporation. During windy periods, lake stage can vary by up to 0.5 feet from north to south due to wind seiche, which is a wave oscillation lasting several hours to days following water displacement. Lake Ozette stage levels are also considerably influenced by both the hydraulic roughness conditions (e.g., LWD) in the lake outlet (Ozette River), and by vegetation and land surface disturbance condition influence on tributary inflow hydrology.

## **TRIBUTARY HABITAT**

Lake Ozette tributary conditions are described in detail for the individual streams used directly by sockeye for spawning (Big River, Umbrella Creek, and Crooked Creek), for the streams that have a strong indirect impact on sockeye habitat (e.g., Coal Creek impacts on Ozette River and Lake Ozette) and for those tributaries that support healthy runs of kokanee (Siwash Creek). For each tributary, the floodplain, riparian, pool and LWD habitat, streambed substrate, water quality, and hydrology and streamflow conditions are described in detail. Data gaps pertaining to the status of these habitat parameters are highlighted.

Floodplain conditions for Lake Ozette tributaries vary considerably. The lower sections of most tributaries are partially disconnected from their floodplains due to incision (by approximately one meter) caused by changes in base level and lake level regime, in addition to local indirect and direct removal of LWD. Furthermore, roads located in the riparian zone have degraded floodplain conditions severely in Big River (county road and agricultural roads) and Umbrella Creek (logging roads). Road densities are less in riparian areas adjacent to Crooked and Siwash Creeks, which retain good floodplain habitat. Siwash Creek riparian habitat remains good because of remnant old growth conditions and high instream wood loads. The lower Coal Creek floodplain has been modified in contemporary times through channel incision caused by base level change

(e.g., LWD removal), and by human development modifications of the confluence configuration and deltaic tributary locations.

As a consequence of its standing as the main transportation and settlement corridor in the Lake Ozette watershed, the Big River floodplain has been uniquely and significantly modified by roads, agriculture pastures, residences, channelization, LWD removal, and overall channel incision (by one to two meters). Channel incision in lower Big River has resulted partially because of base and lake level changes associated with logjam removal from the Ozette River. In addition, direct and indirect removal of LWD from Big River has contributed to incision and bed instability. Kramer (1953) describes clearing 3.5 miles of the river of logs and debris between approximately RM 2 and RM 6. Wood removal, insufficient LWD recruitment, and channel incision have reduced floodplain connectivity in Big River.

The Hoko-Ozette Road roughly follows the original wagon trail to Lake Ozette from Clallam Bay. Big River was correctly named, as for most of the year it was a small, slow-flowing stream, but during storm events, it often flooded out of its channel and occupied a large part of the floodplain valley, which encompassed parts of the trail (road), making passage on the trail (road) impossible. More recently, base level incision, road construction, channelization (rock and cars), and repeated “lifts” (which raise the level of the road to prevent flooding) have restricted channel migration, LWD recruitment, and stream-floodplain interactions. In 2003, 6.1 miles of roads were within 200 feet of the river’s bankfull edge. There is an average of 8.8 miles of road per square mile of riparian area within 200 feet of the river’s bankfull edge (range by channel segment of 6.5 to 17.8 miles per square mile), which equals or exceeds suburban or urban road densities. Rip-rap can be found along the banks of Big River in at least eight locations, preventing the river from migrating across its floodplain, and in some cases, preventing flood waters from accessing the floodplain. Several bridge crossings constrict the river and block flood flows from traveling on the floodplain (e.g., Swan Bay Road).

Agricultural development along the floodplain of Big River began in the late 19<sup>th</sup> century, when pioneer families cleared virgin forest into workable pasture. Floodplain and riparian encroachment by pastures and residences into the Big River riparian zone area (defined as the area extending 200 feet from each river bank) ranges from 0 to 15% by area. On average, 20% of the length of the river has pastures or residences within 200 feet of the bankfull edge (ranging from 0 to 36%). The lowest quality habitat segments (based on pool quality and LWD abundance) in Big River were located adjacent to pastures and/or residences.

Similar to floodplain conditions, riparian conditions along Big River are severely degraded. Nearly all (exceeding 95%) of the old growth riparian forest historically vegetating the riparian zone has been clear-cut or converted to pasture land. Extensive stands of medium-aged red alders (*Alnus rubra*) dominate the riparian forest where it remains, replacing conifers. However, some residual, large conifer trees are still present in patches, as are some continuous stream reaches of relatively young conifers. In addition, disturbed stream banks in many portions of Big River are infested with reed

canary grass (*Phalaris arundinacea*). Japanese knotweed (*Polygonum cuspidatum*) and giant knotweed (*Polygonum sachalinense*) are also rapidly colonizing portions of the lower mainstem of Big River.

Riparian conditions in other Lake Ozette tributaries are also degraded. In contrast to the Big River, logging, rather than agricultural and rural development, has been the major causative factor of degradation in these other tributaries. Nearly all (exceeding 95%) of the old growth riparian forest has been harvested along most tributaries. The majority of riparian forests have been converted to stands dominated by red alder, but in scattered areas, relatively young conifers are the predominant species. Residual in-channel LWD and standing trees provide evidence of the massive trees that once existed. Small exceptions of good riparian conditions remain in portions of the Siwash and Crooked Creek watersheds, where residual in-channel LWD and intact mature riparian areas represent riparian conditions that historically existed throughout the watershed (i.e. large Sitka spruce and western red cedar).

Pool and LWD habitat quantity and quality mirror riparian conditions throughout the watershed. Beyond riparian timber harvesting, WDNR implemented stream clearing policies after 1952 and forest landowners were required to clear wood from streams when logging in adjacent riparian areas, which continued into the early 1990s as an integral part of forest practices.

Comprehensive instream pool and LWD condition data has been collected in the anadromous zone of all major tributaries. Habitat quality was rated and mapped in detail based on observations of instream wood load, large key piece frequency, and pool size and frequency. In most stream reaches with degraded riparian condition, the quantity and quality of LWD were low and below properly functioning levels, especially for the frequency of key conifer pieces (LWD greater than 50 cm in diameter). Conifer dominated the LWD piece count (69 to 83%), despite the standing of alder as the dominant species in many riparian zones. Key pieces ranged from one to four percent of the total piece count. Where present, pools formed by key-piece-sized LWD averaged nearly 1.5 to 1.8 times deeper than pools formed by medium or small LWD or free-formed pools without LWD. Recent recruitment of small and medium sized LWD appears incapable of producing the same habitat quality and complexity as those habitats formed by LWD greater than 50 cm in diameter. Pool habitat features associated with small and medium sized LWD had essentially the same attributes as free-formed pools independent of LWD. Future conifer recruitment will be minimal in many stands that are currently dominated by alder. However, the functionality of large alder recruitment in the future is unknown, as alders represented a smaller portion of past recruitment and current LWD loads. Large alder tree recruitment and LWD placement may be essential to maintain wood loads through the upcoming LWD deficit, until conifers can be planted and mature in the riparian zone.

Spawning substrate quality and quantity varies throughout the main tributaries to Lake Ozette. Past data indicate that the percent fine sediment (particles less than 0.85 mm in diameter) in spawning gravel is high in many Lake Ozette tributaries, averaging 16.1%



(wet-sieve equivalent) of the total substrate composition in Umbrella Creek, 15.7% to 17.3% in Big River, 14.0% to 23.9% in Crooked Creek, and 24.0% in Siwash Creek. Salmonid egg to alevin survival decreases when fine sediment concentrations exceed 13% (McHenry et al. 1994). In undisturbed drainage basins with geology similar to the Lake Ozette watershed, fine sediment levels rarely exceed 10% (McHenry et al. 1996). High levels of fine sediment in Lake Ozette tributaries are a partial result of naturally erosive geology. However, anthropogenic watershed disturbance, notably high road densities (5.5 to 7.5 mi/mi<sup>2</sup>), lack of adequate road surfacing material, high road to stream connectivity, and gullying and mass-wasting associated with vegetation clearing have contributed to observed high fine sediment concentrations.

The quantity of spawning habitat available for salmon in Lake Ozette tributaries has also changed relative to historical levels. The loss of LWD in some streams has reduced the stream's ability to trap and store gravel, with bed coarsening occurring in many tributaries (e.g., Umbrella Creek). In other situations, fine sediment deposition from watershed disturbance has buried previous gravel bed reaches (e.g., lower Big River as described by Kramer 1953).

Water quality conditions in Lake Ozette tributaries vary by season and location. While winter water temperatures are within the preferred range for spawning and incubating salmonids, summer temperatures in most major tributaries regularly exceed the standard environmental temperatures preferred by salmon (10-12°C) and trout (15°C) for many weeks each summer. Water temperatures in Umbrella Creek, Big River, and Crooked Creek regularly exceed 18°C for several days to weeks each summer along lower reaches. These relatively high stream temperatures are thought to be partially a function of riparian forest disturbance and shade loss (mostly from logging during the last 50 years) and partly due to naturally elevated stream temperatures. Low dissolved oxygen conditions often accompany these higher temperatures. In addition, fecal coliform bacteria samples collected during summer months adjacent to agricultural sections of Big River have regularly exceeded Washington State Water Quality Standards (greater than 10% of samples exceed 100 colonies per 100 ml).

Turbidity measurements in Lake Ozette tributaries indicate that turbidity levels regularly exceed 100 nephelometric turbidity units (NTU) during storm events in most tributaries, with extremely high levels (greater than 500 NTU) measured in Umbrella Creek and Big River. In Coal Creek, paired measurements indicate that suspended sediment concentration (SSC) can exceed 1000 mg/L at turbidity values of 300 NTU. Peaks in turbidity and SSC closely follow the patterns of water discharge in each tributary. There is abundant sediment available in the channel network and turbidity is limited by flow-related transport capacity.

The flow regime of Lake Ozette tributaries can be defined as rain-dominated and flashy, with low and high flows commonly being separated by three orders of magnitude. While high discharge, turbidity, and SSC values last only for several hours to days for each event, over a dozen events can occur each year creating cumulatively poor conditions for salmonids.

## **LIMITING FACTORS AFFECTING LAKE OZETTE SOCKEYE**

Limiting factors affecting Lake Ozette sockeye are identified by geographic area: estuary and nearshore environment; Ozette River; Lake Ozette; Lake Ozette tributaries; off-shore marine environment. Within each geographical area, limiting factors are further described by sockeye salmon life history stage.

### **ESTUARY AND NEARSHORE ENVIRONMENT**

Physical changes to the nearshore environment have not been documented. The region is remote and relatively pristine. The effect of climatic forces on ocean temperatures and water current patterns can result in seasonal variations in nearshore productivity, which can alter the nutrients available to juvenile and adult sockeye. However, the effects of changes in the early marine juvenile rearing conditions and late-stage marine life history of Lake Ozette sockeye are unknown. Available marine survival estimates for Lake Ozette sockeye are relatively high compared to survival estimates for other Northwest sockeye salmon populations. Changes in the tidal prism and estuarine habitat conditions appear to have occurred during the last 50 years, but the cause is poorly understood, as are the potential effects of the apparent changes on Lake Ozette sockeye salmon.

Predation on sockeye salmon in the Ozette River estuary and nearshore environment is not well documented. It is suspected that juvenile sockeye are preyed upon by avian, fish, and marine mammal predators during their migration through the estuary and nearshore, but the degree to which this occurs remains unknown. A substantial proportion (33%) of adult sockeye entering the Ozette River from the nearshore environment have scars associated with predation events, with 77% of these scarred fish having old scars and 52% with new scars. Of the identifiable scars on sockeye captured in the lower river, 25% were from wounds inflicted by California and Steller sea lions, while 60% were inflicted by harbor seals. Direct visual observations of predation by pinnipeds have been made, but are limited in quantity and quality. The current number of pinnipeds interacting with Lake Ozette sockeye in the estuary and nearshore environment has increased significantly in the last 50 years, consistent with upward population trends for these animals observed across the Washington coastal and Puget Sound regions. The abandonment of the Ozette Village (one of five Makah villages) near the mouth of the Ozette River over the last 100 years has decreased traditional native hunting of pinnipeds in the nearshore area, Ozette River and Lake Ozette, and has likely increased the local number of these sockeye predators.

Healthy populations of prey species (e.g., salmon) often overwhelm predators (e.g., pinnipeds) by migrating in mass past interaction points, reducing the total number and percentage of predator-prey interactions. Decreases in the number of adult sockeye returning and juveniles emigrating from Lake Ozette in the past are thought to have increased the percentage of the annual juvenile and adult populations preyed upon.

Currently there is no directed sockeye harvest by humans occurring in the nearshore marine environment or the Ozette River estuary. Commercial tribal sockeye harvest was discontinued in 1977. A tribal ceremonial and subsistence fishery took place in the river from 1978 to 1982, with no directed sockeye harvest since. Past over-exploitation in fisheries has been described as a factor for the decline of Ozette sockeye, but fisheries harvest is not currently limiting sockeye salmon viability.

## **OZETTE RIVER**

Compared to other mainstem rivers of the region, the Ozette River retains much of its natural integrity, despite numerous anthropogenic modifications. Instream habitat conditions have been degraded by repeated LWD removal operations (1890s to 1980s) and patchy riparian forest removal, resulting in reduced LWD size, frequency, and functionality. However, a mostly intact riparian corridor along the Ozette River ensures a supply of future LWD. Degraded LWD and riparian conditions have altered migration and rearing conditions for juvenile and adult sockeye, specifically, pool depth and volume, cover availability, and refugia from predators.

Wood in the Ozette River plays an important role in channel roughness, creating a backwater effect that increases floodplain connectivity. In addition, LWD in at least the upper 3,000 feet of the Ozette River exerts a significant influence on lake level regimes, as well as a positive feedback on river discharge. Herrera (2005) modeled various wood loading scenarios in the upper Ozette River and determined that under historical wood loading conditions, the mean lake level during the beach sockeye spawning period was 1.5 to 3.3 feet higher than current conditions. More recently (1979 through 2003), sedimentation at the lake outlet from Coal Creek has further altered lake and river levels, slightly raising summer lake levels but reducing (blocking) low stream discharges for a given lake stage.

The impact of high water temperatures in the Ozette River on migrating Lake Ozette sockeye depends upon specific temperatures and exposure times of both individuals and the entire run. For return years 2002 to 2004, 16.3%, 21.3%, and 55.9%, respectively, of the adult sockeye runs migrating in the Ozette River were exposed to daily average temperatures greater than 18°C. The average duration of migration from the estuary to lake is approximately 65 hours (ranging from 17-154 hours). Direct en-route mortality due to exposure to water temperatures greater than 18°C during river migration has not been investigated for Lake Ozette sockeye salmon. Studies from other areas (e.g., the Fraser River) indicate that exposure to temperatures at or above 18°C could make the sockeye more susceptible to disease and infection (especially considering their extensive [up to 6-month] lake holding period), resulting in elevated pre-spawning mortality levels and/or decreased spawning success.

Sources of turbidity and high suspended sediment concentrations (SSC) in the Ozette River are limited to inputs from Coal Creek and a few small tributaries. Modeled impacts of the high SSC recorded in the Ozette River on sockeye adults range from moderate physiological stress to major indications of physiological stress for 6% (May), 4.8%

(June), 1% (July), and much less than 1% (August) of the adult population, respectively. Cumulatively, approximately 12% of the migrating sockeye salmon population on average would be exposed to SSC that would be expected to result in moderate physiological stress.

Juvenile sockeye smolts are preyed upon by a host of predators in the Ozette River, including river otters, harbor seals, northern pikeminnow, cutthroat trout, birds, and terrestrial mammals. While no detailed studies have exclusively focused upon smolt predation during emigration, smolt trap data indicate that northern pikeminnow are significant predators of sockeye smolts in the Ozette River. Adult sockeye are preyed upon mainly by seals and river otters in the Ozette River, where both species have been observed to frequently transit the entire length of the river. Research has shown that the incidence of scarring on adult sockeye increased by 11% along the length of Ozette River, with a significant portion of upstream-bound fish tagged in the estuary being lost (unrecovered) in transit. Predator scarring rates on sockeye in the Ozette River are among the highest rates observed in the Pacific Northwest. Predator abundance and predation rates in the Ozette River are hypothesized to have been altered by the removal of LWD, which in turn resulted in less availability of refugia for sockeye and easier transit for seals; changes in discharge regime; increases in aquatic mammal abundance; abandonment of the Ozette Village and traditional hunting; decreases in sockeye abundance (resulting in less predator swamping); and fisheries management practices (regulations, monitoring), which have synergistically interacted to unbalance predator-prey interactions, causing an increase in the ratio of predators relative to numbers of remaining Lake Ozette sockeye salmon.

## **LAKE OZETTE**

Spawning habitat availability around the perimeter of Lake Ozette is largely controlled by lake level regime, which is influenced by the hydraulic (backwater) conditions of the Ozette River outlet, in addition to the hydrologic conditions of tributary inflow. Under historical wood loading conditions in the Ozette River, the mean lake level during the beach sockeye spawning period was 1.5 to 3.3 feet higher than current conditions. It is hypothesized that reduced mean lake levels have reduced the available area of sockeye beach spawning habitat and increased the ability of vegetation to colonize the lake shorelines in spring and summer months. Tributary inflow hydrology and outlet hydraulics together control how rapidly lake levels rise and fall and how they are sustained at preferred spawning levels, thus influencing the probability of redds (eggs) becoming desiccated and dewatered (e.g., three percent of the redd surface area on Olsen's Beach was estimated [based on measurements of redds and lake level] to be dewatered during the sockeye egg incubation period in return year 2000). Known alterations to lake outlet hydraulics and known land use changes in tributary watersheds, with hypothesized hydrologic impacts, have altered lake level regimes beyond levels attributable to natural climatic variability to an unquantified degree.

The quantity and quality of beach spawning gravels in Lake Ozette have declined significantly from their historical conditions to present. Reduced spawning gravel

quantity and quality are key limiting factors affecting the success of beach spawning sockeye in Lake Ozette. The degree to which habitat quantity and quality has been reduced has not been quantified for the entire lake shoreline. Habitat quality reduction varies by site. For example, the entire Umbrella Beach spawning area historically used for sockeye spawning has been covered by several acres of fine sediment originating from Umbrella Creek and no longer provides suitable habitat. Other potential spawning areas have been reduced by vegetation colonization. The degree of colonization varies from small scale increases in vegetation, to entire beach segments colonized by shrubs and grasses (adjacent to areas currently used by spawning sockeye).

Measured levels of fine sediment collected in spawning gravels on Olsen's and Allen's beaches average 25% fines (particles less than  $< 0.85\text{mm}$ ;  $n=56$ ; gravimetric method), but with high spatial variability. Egg basket studies indicate that the total green egg-to-emergence survival rate was extremely low (averaging less than 1%; ranging from 0 to 45%). Over 21 day eyed-egg survival trials, median survival in cleaned gravel (8%) was higher than in uncleaned gravel (2%). Concurrent hatchery incubated eggs in cleaned and un-cleaned gravel had survivals of 99% and 61%, respectively. Reduced sockeye egg survival measured in uncleaned Olsen's Beach gravel under optimal incubation conditions at the hatchery and devoid of other confounding factors present in the lake suggest that fine sediment plays a significant role in egg mortality. However, these data also strongly suggest that other factors also contribute to reduced survival (e.g. encroachment by vegetation, deleterious changes in upwelling characteristics, and deleterious changes in inter-gravel flow).

Delivery of fine sediment to the lake from tributaries has increased three-fold during the last 50 to 100 years (Herrera 2006), largely due to increased sediment production from forest roads, clear-cutting, channel incision, and agricultural development. Historically utilized beaches, such as Umbrella Beach, have a clear link between sediment source, delivery, and the elimination of beach spawning habitat (5.7 acres of delta growth 1964-2003). However, it is not fully understood to what degree these increases have affected the remaining utilized beach spawning habitats located at Olsen's and Allen's beaches. Sediment delivery from local tributaries and shore slopes, combined with lateral lake shore transport from winds from the south-southwest, are the likely primary mechanisms for fine sediment delivery to sockeye spawning habitat at these extant spawning locations. In addition, the reduction in the abundance of the sockeye population in Lake Ozette during the last 30 years may have reduced the population's effectiveness in cleaning and maintaining spawning gravels that are free from fine sediment and vegetation through the act of mass spawning.

Furthermore, colonization and encroachment of native and non-native vegetation on the lake shoreline influences the habitat quality, sediment particle size distribution, and sediment trapping efficiency of Lake Ozette spawning beaches. There has been a substantial increase in shoreline vegetation (shrubs and grasses) during the last 50 years, hypothesized to be a result of long-term wood removal from Ozette River, lower lake levels during the growing season, reduced elk shoreline grazing, and vegetation colonization of newly delivered fine sediment from tributaries. In some locations,

vegetation has completely blocked or smothered access to traditional spawning sites, while in other areas vegetation has decreased wave energy, promoting sediment deposition and reducing wind-driven currents needed to oxygenate eggs. The cumulative effects of vegetation colonization, sedimentation, and altered lake levels are hypothesized to have altered local hyporheic or groundwater flow paths and rates through spawning gravel, adversely affecting the quality of the incubation environment (i.e., egg oxygenation, waste removal).

In addition to degradation of habitat quality, the quantity of potential beach spawning habitat has also been reduced. While the number of beach spawning aggregations that have been extirpated is unknown, the strategy of spawning at creek mouths is no longer observed for Lake Ozette sockeye (e.g., Umbrella Creek and other tributaries). Colonization by native and non-native plants in spawning gravel decreased the extent of unvegetated (bare substrate) shoreline by an average of 56% from 1953 to 2003, directly reducing the quantity of spawning habitat available for sockeye. At Olsen's and Allen's beaches, the decrease in unvegetated shoreline area was higher than average, at 66 % and 67%, respectively.

Altered lake level regimes resulting from changes in outlet hydraulics and inflow hydrology have also reduced the amount of spawning gravel habitat inundated, and therefore available for sockeye salmon use, during the spawning and incubation period. The average reduction (1.5 to 3.3 feet) of lake levels during spawning and incubation period because of removal of wood at the Ozette River outlet has decreased the available spawning habitat area at Olsen's Beach by 11% to 33%. The cumulative effects of changes in lake level, increased vegetation colonization, and elevated sediment deposition levels have reduced the suitable spawning habitat (above 31.5 ft MSL) area by greater than 70% at Olsen's and Allen's beaches.

Predation on sockeye salmon occurs during all life history phases within the lake. Juvenile sockeye and smolts are preyed upon by a host of predators in Lake Ozette including northern pikeminnow, cutthroat trout, sculpin, other native and non-native fishes, and birds. In the limnetic (open water) zone of Lake Ozette, cutthroat trout have been documented to be the major predator of juvenile *O. nerka*, whereas northern pikeminnow are less significant predators because they feed less in the limnetic zone. However, northern pikeminnow, sculpin, cutthroat trout, juvenile steelhead trout, juvenile coho salmon, yellow perch, and largemouth bass may be significant predators where they interact with juvenile sockeye along lake margins and near tributary confluences.

Adult sockeye are preyed upon mainly by harbor seals and river otters in Lake Ozette. Harbor seals are most commonly observed in the lake during fall and winter months during adult spawning, but seals are also encountered during spring or early summer during sockeye migration. Seals were not observed in the lake until the late 1980s. The number of harbor seals that frequent Lake Ozette appears to be low (two to four animals), but spawning sockeye are extremely vulnerable to predation, and the limited number of beach spawners in the lake could be significantly negatively impacted by only a handful of seals. Beach carcass studies also indicate that river otters are a major predator of adult

sockeye in the lake. However, there is the potential that river otters scavenge the remains of sockeye that were captured and killed by harbor seals, implicating the wrong animal.

Disease is believed to have a low impact on the survival and abundance of adult sockeye holding in Lake Ozette. There is no direct evidence of significant disease mortality of free swimming adult sockeye in the lake during the up to six-month holding period. However, little is known about this life stage of Lake Ozette sockeye, and fish losses to disease cannot be entirely discounted as a potential limiting factor. In some years, only a fraction of the adult fish enumerated at the weir have been accounted for during lake and tributary spawning ground surveys, suggesting the potential for significant mortality from disease, secondary infections due to lacerations, direct predation, or unknown factors.

Hatchery practices implemented through the Hatchery Genetic Management Plan include measures to minimize potential disease and genetic impacts to beach spawning aggregations. The Umbrella Creek Hatchery “stock” poses limited genetic risk from breeding with beach spawning sockeye, since Umbrella Creek sockeye are essentially the same genetically as Olsen’s Beach sockeye. Mark and recapture data collected at Olsen’s and Allen’s beaches indicate that few, if any Umbrella Creek hatchery releases return to spawn on Lake Ozette beaches.

## **LAKE OZETTE TRIBUTARIES**

Lack of long-term hydrologic data sets in the Ozette watershed preclude precise quantification of any potential changes to hydrology and flow regimes from land use and channel modifications. However, forest harvest data (showing that greater than 90% of the watershed has been logged once and 33% to 60% has consistently remained hydrologically immature), road density data (averaging 5.5 miles/mi<sup>2</sup>) in the Lake Ozette basin, and loss of floodplain connectivity and water storage (loss of LWD), along with a thorough literature review of forest hydrological processes, strongly suggest that these anthropogenic perturbations may have resulted in alterations in common peak flows (0.5- to 2-year recurrence intervals) and baseflows (i.e., historically higher progressing toward chronically lower).

Natural or anthropogenically modified variability in streamflow can affect salmonid habitat availability via velocity and depth or gravel area covered by water. Low flows and delayed seasonal high flows can alter adult migration timing, influencing predation rates or overall fitness. Highly variable discharge during spawning can force fish to spawn high in the channel cross-section, increasing the probability of later redd desiccation, or it may force fish to spawn low in the channel, increasing the probability of redd scour.

Summer temperatures in most major tributaries regularly exceed the standard environmental temperatures preferred by salmon (10-12°C) and trout (15°C); however, there is very little overlap between natural-origin sockeye and stream temperatures exceeding 16°C. In contrast, low pH values during low and high discharges can inhibit successful spawning and incubation, as hypothesized for Crooked and Coal Creeks.

Past and recent turbidity measurements in Lake Ozette tributaries indicate that turbidity levels regularly exceed 100 NTU during storm events in most tributaries, with extremely high levels (exceeding 500 NTU) measured in Umbrella Creek and Big River. In Coal Creek, paired turbidity and SSC measurements indicate that SSC values can exceed 1,000 mg/L at turbidity values of 300 NTU. Peaks in turbidity and SSC closely follow the patterns of water discharge in each tributary, indicating that the abundant fine sediment is transport limited. Elevated turbidity and SSC levels can directly and indirectly affect fish survival through altered behavior, physiology, and habitat quantity and quality. While high discharge, turbidity, and SSC values are limited in duration (only lasting for several hours to days for each storm event), the high frequency of such events (over a dozen events each year) can create cumulatively poor conditions for salmonids. In all tributaries, on average the duration of turbidity and SSC exposure is greater during fall and winter (adult spawning and incubation) than spring (juvenile emigration from tributaries). Modeled impacts of SSC on sockeye adults and smolts during spring floods in Coal Creek range from moderate physiological stress to major physiological stress. Because of the significantly higher turbidity and SSC values in Big River and Umbrella Creek, it is likely that the impacts on sockeye behavior, physiology, and habitat are greater there.

Channel-floodplain-riparian connectivity plays an important role in sediment transport and storage dynamics, as well as in regulating hydraulic and hydrologic processes. Cumulatively, altered floodplain processes coupled with other changes in watershed processes, such as increased sediment and water production and delivery to the channel network, can result in increased fine sediment levels, decreased bed stability, and increased sediment delivery to the lake. Loss of large riparian conifer vegetation because of floodplain development or logging has resulted in a decrease in LWD in most tributaries. In the habitat segments of major Lake Ozette tributaries defined for research purposes, the number of LWD pieces per 100 meters of stream length rated good in 25% of the segments; LWD greater than 50cm in diameter per 100 meters of stream length rated good in 23%; but key pieces/BFW rated good in only one percent of segments. A high frequency of large-diameter pieces of LWD is highly correlated with reaches with undisturbed riparian zones. In Ozette stream channels and floodplains, “key piece LWD” is an important roughness component that dissipates energy, promotes channel stability, creates complex aquatic habitat, increases floodplain connectivity, stores spawning sediment, and filters fine sediment.

In many Lake Ozette tributaries, the quantity of suitable spawning habitat has been reduced as a result of LWD removal, reduced LWD recruitment, increased fine sediment inputs and abundance, channelization and bank armoring, gravel mining, and colonization of bar deposits by non-native vegetation. In some reaches of Big River and Umbrella Creek, spawning gravel beds have been completely converted to sand bed or cobble bed, respectively. However, current sockeye salmon run sizes in the tributaries (less than 5,000 adult sockeye) occupy a small fraction of available habitat and thus are not currently limited by habitat quantity.



Spawning habitat quality in Lake Ozette tributaries is affected by channel stability and, more specifically, by redd scour. Channel stability and scour is influenced by many factors, including peak streamflow, sediment inputs, sediment transport imbalances, bed and bank material, size and density of LWD, and channel-floodplain connectivity. It is hypothesized that the combined influence of increased common peak flood magnitude, increased sedimentation of spawning reaches, reduced wood loads, and/or channelization and floodplain disconnection have synergistically destabilized relative bed stability and reduced sockeye egg-to-fry survival. Numerous observations have been made of highly mobile stream beds in tributary spawning areas, but no direct monitoring of scour depth has been conducted. Identification of the effects of gravel movement and redd scour on Lake Ozette sockeye salmon survival and productivity remains a data gap.

Reduced spawning gravel quality and the accumulation of fine sediment in spawning gravels during egg incubation appear to be *key* limiting factors affecting the success of tributary spawning sockeye. High levels of fine sediment in spawning gravels can reduce or block water exchange, oxygen delivery, waste removal, and fry emergence. It is hypothesized that fine sediment production has increased in the Lake Ozette watershed following European-American settlement by a factor of three, due to changes in land use (vegetation clearing, logging, road building). While no pre-disturbance fine sediment data are available for Ozette tributaries, in nearby undisturbed drainage basins with similar geology, fine sediment levels rarely exceed 10%. Under current, post-disturbance conditions, Lake Ozette tributaries have some of the highest levels of fine sediment (18.7% volumetric) measured in spawning gravels on the north Olympic Peninsula. Salmonid egg-to-alevin survival has been shown to decrease drastically when fine sediment concentrations exceed 13% (volumetric method).

Predation on juvenile and adult sockeye in Lake Ozette tributaries is poorly documented. During the period that adult sockeye enter, migrate, and hold in lake tributaries, they are primarily susceptible to predation by river otters, harbor seals, terrestrial mammals and birds (bald eagles, osprey). During spawning and egg incubation, sockeye eggs are susceptible to predation by sculpin, cutthroat trout, river otters, and birds (merganser, belted kingfisher). No studies of sockeye egg predation in the tributaries have been conducted nor has it been suggested that significant levels of egg predation are occurring. Upon emergence from the spawning gravel, sockeye fry are vulnerable to predation in tributaries by sculpin (sp), cutthroat trout, juvenile steelhead trout, juvenile coho salmon, and northern pikeminnow. Predator abundance and predation efficiencies in Ozette tributaries have been altered by LWD removal, which influences availability of refugia for sockeye, and loss of substrate refugia due to fine sediment deposition and embeddedness.

Within Lake Ozette tributaries, competition effects are limited primarily to impacts that may occur during spawning. Emergent sockeye fry quickly migrate to the lake upon emergence from the gravel, and food resource competition is not likely. Both intraspecific and interspecific competition exists in Lake Ozette tributaries: sockeye competing with one another for spawning habitat, sockeye competing and/or spawning with kokanee for spawning habitat, and sockeye competition with coho salmon for

spawning habitat. The degree and type of competition thought to occur in tributaries varies by stream system, species population abundance, and habitat quality and availability. Within certain reaches with modest numbers of sockeye (Umbrella Creek), competition can be intense and redd superimposition can play a significant role in egg-to-fry survival. Spawning competition with coho salmon also occurs, since both species spawn at the same time and in similar habitat, but coho populations will need to increase before their competition with sockeye for spawning sites becomes a significant factor. Competition and interaction with kokanee is thought to be minimal in Umbrella Creek, since few kokanee spawn in this stream system. Interactions between the two *O. nerka* races are more common in other streams (Crooked Creek) where sockeye numbers are low but kokanee numbers are moderate. Tributary spawning ground surveys during the last 10 years have provided no evidence of pre-spawning disease-induced mortality in the tributaries.

## OFF SHORE MARINE ENVIRONMENT

Limited marine survival data indicate that *total* marine survival rates appear good, averaging 15 to 27%. Data for other Pacific Northwest sockeye salmon populations indicates that average marine survival for large sockeye smolts (>115mm) in the southern range of the ocean where Lake Ozette likely rear (latitude <55°N) averages 17.1%. While marine survival is a critical component in determining the ultimate abundance of Lake Ozette sockeye, broad-scale, regional studies of decadal-scale productivity suggest that changes in marine survival have played a limited role in the decline of Lake Ozette sockeye.

Since the discontinued tribal sockeye fishery in late 1970s, there have been no known directed sockeye fisheries that substantially affect Lake Ozette sockeye in the marine environment. No past or recent marine harvest data for Lake Ozette sockeye exist. Marine area migration timing for Lake Ozette sockeye salmon was estimated for Southeast Alaska and West Coast Vancouver Island marine areas. Ozette sockeye migration timing was charted relative to the timing of fisheries in recent years to determine whether the fisheries could be intercepting Lake Ozette sockeye. Alaskan fisheries appear to occur too late in the season to pose a threat of intercepting Ozette sockeye. West Coast Vancouver Island sockeye fisheries have been virtually closed since 1996, and less than 10% of Ozette sockeye could be subject to harvest if/when these fisheries operate. A small (one to two gill net boat) test fishery in Canadian Area 20 (one day's travel north from the mouth of the Ozette River) that is conducted to assess Fraser River sockeye salmon run strength and timing overlaps in timing with approximately 25% of the Lake Ozette sockeye return. This test fishery could intercept some Lake Ozette sockeye (Pacific Salmon Commission staff estimated that one Lake Ozette adult was encountered two years ago). However, DNA analysis has shown that the vast majority of fish caught originate from Lake Washington and the Fraser River during the period when Lake Ozette sockeye might be present in the test fishery. The Pacific Fishery Management Council states that southern U.S. coastal sport, commercial, and tribal fisheries have no measurable impact on sockeye salmon.

## **ANALYSIS OF LIMITING FACTORS BY LIFE STAGE**

This report presents a series of limiting factors hypotheses by life stage, supported by a narrative describing reasoning and evidence. Each limiting factor hypothesis was evaluated based on the following definition of a limiting factor: physical, biological, or chemical conditions (e.g., inadequate spawning habitat, insufficient prey resources, and deleterious suspended sediment concentration) experienced by sockeye at the spawning aggregation scale resulting in reductions in viable salmonid population (VSP) parameters (abundance, productivity, spatial structure, and diversity).

The Lake Ozette Sockeye Steering Committee's Technical Workgroup evaluated and rated each of the limiting factors hypotheses based upon the degree of impact on the population or sub-population during each life stage. The degree of impact of each limiting factor was categorized as one of the following: unknown, negligible, low, moderate, or high.

In addition, a narrative describing the rationale for determining a specific degree of impact and certainty of impact (low, medium, high, N/A) was characterized by the group for each limiting factor hypothesis. Sub-hypotheses were developed for some complex limiting factors, which include linkage between each limiting factor and the processes and/or threats that may influence the limiting factor. Most sub-hypotheses include a link to the sub-section of the report where detailed supporting evidence can be found. Key limiting factors are those with the greatest (highest) impacts on a population's ability to reach its desired status.

## **LIMITING FACTORS AFFECTING ALL POPULATION SEGMENTS**

### **High Level of Impact**

- Predation on juvenile sockeye in the Lake Ozette pelagic zone
- Marine survival

### **Moderate Level of Impact**

- Predation during adult migration
- Predation during juvenile emigration
- Water quality during adult migration

### **Low Level of Impact**

- Ozette River habitat during adult migration
- Ozette River habitat during juvenile emigration
- Research and monitoring during adult migration
- Research and monitoring during juvenile emigration

### **Unknown Level of Impact**

- Disease: all life stages
- Estuary alterations: adult and juvenile stages
- Streamflow alterations: adult and juvenile stages
- Water quality during adult holding
- Predation during adult holding

**LIMITING FACTORS AFFECTING BEACH SPAWNERS**

## High Level of Impact

- Predation during adult spawning
- Reduced suitable spawning substrate during incubation
- Fine sediment in gravel during incubation
- Vegetation encroachment during incubation

## Moderate Level of Impact

- Fine sediment in gravel during fry emergence
- Seasonal lake level change during incubation and emergence

## Low Level of Impact

- Predation during adult staging near beaches
- Redd superimposition during spawning/incubation

## Unknown Level of Impact

- Predation during incubation and emergence
- Water quality during adult staging and spawning
- Low population size (habitat maintenance) during incubation

**LIMITING FACTORS AFFECTING TRIBUTARY SPAWNERS**

## High Level of Impact

- Fine sediment in gravel during incubation
- Water quality during incubation

## Moderate Level of Impact

- Predation during fry emergence and emigration

## Low Level of Impact

- Predation during adult migration, spawning and incubation
- Pool habitat during adult migration and spawning
- Streamflow during adult migration, spawning, and fry emigration
- Water quality during adult migration, spawning, and fry emigration
- Research and monitoring during egg incubation

## Unknown Level of Impact

- Streamflow during egg incubation

## CONTENTS

LIST OF ACRONYMS/ABBREVIATIONS USED.....	lii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	liv
1 INTRODUCTION .....	1-1
1.1 BACKGROUND .....	1-2
1.2 ORGANIZATION OF REPORT.....	1-5
1.3 PHYSICAL SETTING .....	1-5
1.3.1 Watershed Geology.....	1-7
1.3.2 Climate.....	1-14
1.4 LAKE OZETTE ECOLOGICAL SETTING.....	1-21
1.5 WATERSHED DISTURBANCE AND LAND USE.....	1-22
1.5.1 Landownership.....	1-23
1.5.2 Settlement and Agricultural Development.....	1-27
1.5.3 Commercial Timber Harvest.....	1-28
1.5.4 Road and Railroad Construction .....	1-29
1.5.5 Stream Clearing History .....	1-31
2 FISH POPULATIONS OF THE LAKE OZETTE WATERSHED .....	2-1
2.1 SALMONID POPULATIONS .....	2-1
2.1.1 Kokanee Salmon ( <i>Oncorhynchus nerka kennerlyi</i> ) .....	2-1
2.1.1.1 Current and Historical Abundance.....	2-1
2.1.1.2 Kokanee Salmon Life History .....	2-2
2.1.1.2.1 Adult and Sub-Adult Kokanee Rearing in Lake Ozette.....	2-2
2.1.1.2.2 Adult Kokanee Migration and Spawning .....	2-2
2.1.1.2.3 Kokanee Fry Emergence, Dispersal, and Early-Rearing .....	2-4
2.1.1.3 Hatchery Practices and Planting History .....	2-4
2.1.1.4 Kokanee Salmon Genetics .....	2-5
2.1.2 Coho Salmon ( <i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i> ) .....	2-5
2.1.2.1 Current and Historical Abundance.....	2-5
2.1.2.2 Coho Salmon Life History .....	2-7
2.1.2.2.1 Adult Coho Entering System .....	2-7
2.1.2.2.2 Adult Coho Holding in Lake Ozette .....	2-8
2.1.2.2.3 Adult Coho Migration and Spawning in Tributaries .....	2-8
2.1.2.2.4 Coho Salmon Fry Emergence and Dispersal .....	2-11
2.1.2.2.5 Juvenile Coho Salmon Freshwater Rearing.....	2-12
2.1.2.2.6 Coho Salmon Seaward Migration.....	2-12
2.1.2.2.7 Coho Salmon Marine/Ocean Phase .....	2-13
2.1.2.3 Coho Salmon Hatchery Practices and Planting History .....	2-13
2.1.2.4 Coho Salmon Genetics.....	2-14
2.1.3 Chum Salmon ( <i>Oncorhynchus keta</i> ).....	2-14
2.1.3.1 Current and Historical Abundance.....	2-14
2.1.3.2 Chum Salmon Life History .....	2-15
2.1.3.2.1 Adult Chum Entering System .....	2-15
2.1.3.2.2 Adult Chum Holding in Lake Ozette .....	2-16
2.1.3.2.3 Adult Chum Migration and Spawning.....	2-16
2.1.3.2.4 Chum Salmon Fry Emergence and Dispersal .....	2-16

2.1.3.2.5	Juvenile Chum Salmon Freshwater Rearing.....	2-17
2.1.3.2.6	Chum Salmon Seaward Migration.....	2-17
2.1.3.2.7	Chum Salmon Marine/Ocean Phase .....	2-17
2.1.3.3	Chum Salmon Hatchery Practices and Planting History .....	2-17
2.1.3.4	Chum Salmon Genetics.....	2-17
2.1.4	Chinook Salmon ( <i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i> ).....	2-17
2.1.4.1	Current and Historical Abundance.....	2-18
2.1.4.2	Chinook Salmon Life History.....	2-19
2.1.4.2.1	Adult Chinook Entering System .....	2-19
2.1.4.2.2	Adult Chinook Holding in Lake Ozette .....	2-19
2.1.4.2.3	Adult Chinook Migration and Spawning.....	2-19
2.1.4.2.4	Chinook Salmon Fry Emergence and Dispersal .....	2-19
2.1.4.2.5	Juvenile Chinook Salmon Freshwater Rearing.....	2-20
2.1.4.2.6	Chinook Salmon Seaward Migration.....	2-20
2.1.4.2.7	Chinook Salmon Marine/Ocean Phase .....	2-20
2.1.4.3	Chinook Salmon Hatchery Practices and Planting History .....	2-20
2.1.4.4	Chinook Salmon Genetics.....	2-20
2.1.5	Steelhead/Rainbow Trout ( <i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> ).....	2-21
2.1.5.1	Current and Historical Abundance.....	2-21
2.1.5.2	Steelhead Trout Life History .....	2-21
2.1.5.2.1	Adult Steelhead Trout Entering System .....	2-21
2.1.5.2.2	Adult Steelhead Trout Holding in Lake Ozette .....	2-21
2.1.5.2.3	Adult Steelhead Trout Migration and Spawning .....	2-22
2.1.5.2.4	Steelhead Trout Fry Emergence and Dispersal.....	2-23
2.1.5.2.5	Juvenile Steelhead Trout Freshwater Rearing .....	2-24
2.1.5.2.6	Steelhead Trout Seaward Migration .....	2-24
2.1.5.2.7	Steelhead Trout Marine/Ocean Phase.....	2-25
2.1.5.3	Steelhead Trout Hatchery Practices and Planting History .....	2-25
2.1.5.4	Steelhead Trout Genetics .....	2-25
2.1.6	Coastal Cutthroat Trout ( <i>Oncorhynchus clarki</i> ).....	2-26
2.1.6.1	Current and Historical Abundance.....	2-26
2.1.6.2	Coastal Cutthroat Trout Life History.....	2-26
2.1.6.2.1	Sea-Run/Anadromous Cutthroat Trout.....	2-26
2.1.6.2.2	Adfluvial Cutthroat Trout .....	2-27
2.1.6.2.3	Resident (Non-Migratory) Cutthroat Trout .....	2-28
2.1.6.3	Coastal Cutthroat Trout Hatchery Practices and Planting History ....	2-28
2.1.6.4	Coast Cutthroat Trout Genetics .....	2-28
2.2	NATIVE NON-SALMONID FISH POPULATIONS.....	2-28
2.2.1	Speckled Dace ( <i>Rhinichthys osculus</i> ).....	2-29
2.2.2	Sculpins ( <i>Cottus Spp</i> ).....	2-29
2.2.2.1	Prickly Sculpin ( <i>Cottus asper</i> ) .....	2-29
2.2.2.2	Reticulate and Riffle Sculpin ( <i>C. perplexus</i> ; <i>gulosus</i> ).....	2-30
2.2.2.3	Coastrange Sculpin ( <i>Cottus aleuticus</i> ).....	2-30
2.2.2.4	Torrent Sculpin ( <i>Cottus rhotheus</i> ) .....	2-30
2.2.3	Western Brook Lamprey ( <i>Lampetra richardsoni</i> ).....	2-31
2.2.4	Pacific Lamprey ( <i>Lampetra tridentata</i> ) .....	2-31

2.2.5	Threespine Stickleback ( <i>Gasterosteus aculeatus</i> ) .....	2-32
2.2.6	Olympic Mudminnow ( <i>Novumbra hubbsi</i> ).....	2-32
2.2.7	Peamouth ( <i>Mylocheilus caurinus</i> ) .....	2-33
2.2.8	Northern Pikeminnow ( <i>Ptychocheilus oregonensis</i> ) .....	2-33
2.2.9	Redside Shiner ( <i>Richardsonius balteatus</i> ).....	2-34
2.3	EXOTIC FISH POPULATIONS .....	2-34
2.3.1	Tui Chub ( <i>Gila bicolor</i> ).....	2-34
2.3.2	American Shad ( <i>Alosa sapidissima</i> ) .....	2-35
2.3.3	Yellow Perch ( <i>Perca flavescens</i> ) .....	2-35
2.3.4	Largemouth Bass ( <i>Micropterus salmoides</i> ) .....	2-36
2.3.5	Brown Bullhead ( <i>Ictalurus nebulosus</i> ) .....	2-36
3	THE SOCKEYE SALMON POPULATION .....	3-1
3.1	LAKE OZETTE SOCKEYE LIFE HISTORY .....	3-1
3.1.1	Adult Sockeye Entering System .....	3-2
3.1.2	Adult Sockeye Holding in Lake Ozette .....	3-5
3.1.3	Adult Sockeye Entering, Migrating, and Holding in Tributaries.....	3-6
3.1.4	Adult Sockeye Spawning and Egg Incubation on Beaches .....	3-7
3.1.5	Adult Sockeye Spawning and Egg Incubation in Tributaries.....	3-16
3.1.6	Lake Beach Fry Emergence and Dispersal .....	3-20
3.1.7	Tributary Fry Emergence and Dispersal .....	3-21
3.1.8	Juvenile Freshwater Rearing.....	3-22
3.1.9	Seaward Migration.....	3-23
3.1.10	Marine/Ocean Phase .....	3-26
3.2	HATCHERY PRACTICES and PLANTING HISTORY .....	3-26
3.2.1	Non-Native Sockeye Salmon Stocking (1937-1983).....	3-27
3.2.2	Recent Sockeye Salmon Artificial Propagation Efforts (1984-1999)....	3-27
3.2.3	Hatchery and Genetic Management Plan (HGMP) .....	3-30
3.3	POPULATION STRUCTURE and DIVERSITY .....	3-33
3.3.1	Genetics.....	3-34
3.3.2	Sockeye-Kokanee Genetic Interactions .....	3-35
3.4	POPULATION SIZE AND TRENDS.....	3-36
3.4.1	Methods Used to Estimate Run Sizes .....	3-36
3.4.2	Historical (pre-1977) Ozette Sockeye Run Sizes.....	3-40
3.4.3	Recent (1977-2003) Lake Ozette Sockeye Run Sizes .....	3-41
3.4.3.1	Current Spawning Distribution and Number of Spawners .....	3-46
3.4.3.1.1	Lake Ozette Sockeye Beach Spawning Aggregations.....	3-46
3.4.3.1.2	Lake Ozette Sockeye Tributary Spawning Aggregations.....	3-49
3.4.3.1.2.1	Umbrella Creek.....	3-50
3.4.3.1.2.2	Big River.....	3-54
3.4.3.1.2.3	Crooked Creek .....	3-55
3.5	LAKE OZETTE SOCKEYE SALMON PRODUCTIVITY .....	3-57
3.5.1	Past Estimates .....	3-57
3.5.2	Recent Estimates .....	3-57
4	HABITAT CONDITIONS AFFECTING LAKE OZETTE SOCKEYE .....	4-1
4.1	ESTUARY AND NEAR-SHORE .....	4-1
4.2	LAKE OZETTE.....	4-4

4.2.1	Shoreline and Beach Conditions .....	4-4
4.2.2	Riparian Conditions .....	4-20
4.2.3	Water Quality .....	4-20
4.2.4	Lake Productivity .....	4-23
4.2.5	Hydrology and Lake Level .....	4-24
4.3	OZETTE RIVER .....	4-38
4.3.1	Ozette River Floodplain Conditions .....	4-40
4.3.2	Ozette River Riparian Conditions .....	4-40
4.3.3	Ozette River Pool and LWD Habitat Conditions .....	4-41
4.3.4	Ozette River Streambed-Substrate Conditions .....	4-42
4.3.5	Ozette River Water Quality .....	4-44
4.3.6	Ozette River Hydrology .....	4-49
4.3.6.1	Lake and River Hydraulic Controls .....	4-51
4.3.6.2	Measured and Reconstructed Ozette River Discharge .....	4-58
4.3.6.3	Synthesized Ozette River Hydrographs .....	4-63
4.4	LAKE OZETTE TRIBUTARIES .....	4-66
4.4.1	Umbrella Creek .....	4-66
4.4.1.1	Umbrella Creek Floodplain Conditions .....	4-66
4.4.1.2	Umbrella Creek Riparian Conditions .....	4-66
4.4.1.3	Umbrella Creek Pool and LWD Conditions .....	4-67
4.4.1.4	Umbrella Creek Streambed and Substrate Conditions .....	4-71
4.4.1.5	Umbrella Creek Water Quality .....	4-72
4.4.1.6	Umbrella Creek Hydrology and Streamflow .....	4-77
4.4.2	Big River .....	4-79
4.4.2.1	Big River Floodplain Conditions .....	4-79
4.4.2.1.1	Altered Base Level Related Floodplain Impacts .....	4-79
4.4.2.1.2	Road-Related Floodplain Impacts .....	4-82
4.4.2.1.3	Agricultural and Residential Floodplain Impacts .....	4-83
4.4.2.1.4	Stream Clearing and Forestry Related Floodplain Impacts .....	4-87
4.4.2.2	Big River Riparian Conditions .....	4-87
4.4.2.3	Big River Pool and LWD Habitat Conditions .....	4-88
4.4.2.4	Big River Streambed and Substrate Conditions .....	4-94
4.4.2.5	Big River Water Quality .....	4-94
4.4.2.6	Big River Hydrology and Streamflow .....	4-102
4.4.3	Crooked Creek .....	4-103
4.4.3.1	Crooked Creek Floodplain Conditions .....	4-104
4.4.3.2	Crooked Creek Riparian Conditions .....	4-104
4.4.3.3	Crooked Creek Pool and LWD Conditions .....	4-104
4.4.3.4	Crooked Creek Streambed and Substrate Conditions .....	4-108
4.4.3.5	Crooked Creek Water Quality .....	4-108
4.4.3.6	Crooked Creek Hydrology and Streamflow .....	4-112
4.4.4	Coal Creek .....	4-113
4.4.4.1	Coal Creek Floodplain Conditions .....	4-113
4.4.4.2	Coal Creek Riparian Conditions .....	4-113
4.4.4.3	Coal Creek Pool and LWD Conditions .....	4-114
4.4.4.4	Coal Creek Streambed and Substrate Conditions .....	4-118



4.4.4.5	Coal Creek Water Quality.....	4-118
4.4.4.5.1	Turbidity and Suspended Sediment Concentration.....	4-124
4.4.4.6	Coal Creek Hydrology and Streamflow.....	4-127
4.4.5	Siwash Creek .....	4-128
4.4.5.1	Siwash Creek Floodplain Conditions.....	4-128
4.4.5.2	Siwash Creek Riparian Conditions .....	4-128
4.4.5.3	Siwash Creek Pool and LWD Conditions.....	4-129
4.4.5.4	Siwash Creek Streambed and Substrate Conditions .....	4-133
4.4.5.5	Siwash Creek Water Quality.....	4-133
4.4.5.6	Siwash Creek Hydrology and Streamflow.....	4-135
5	LIMITING FACTORS AFFECTING LAKE OZETTE SOCKEYE .....	5-1
5.1	METHODS AND FRAMEWORK.....	5-1
5.2	ESTUARY AND NEARSHORE ENVIRONMENT .....	5-2
5.2.1	Tidal Prism and Physical Estuarine Habitat Conditions .....	5-2
5.2.2	Predation .....	5-2
5.2.2.1	Predators .....	5-3
5.2.2.1.1	Sea lions ( <i>Zalophus californianus</i> ; <i>Eumetopias jubatus</i> ).....	5-3
5.2.2.1.2	Harbor Seals ( <i>Phoca vitulina</i> ) .....	5-4
5.2.2.1.3	River Otters ( <i>Lutra canadensis</i> ) .....	5-4
5.2.2.1.4	Other Predators .....	5-5
5.2.2.2	Factors Affecting Predation .....	5-5
5.2.2.2.1	Increases in Pinniped Abundance .....	5-5
5.2.2.2.2	Abandonment of Ozette Village .....	5-6
5.2.2.2.3	Decreased Sockeye Abundance .....	5-6
5.2.3	Directed Lake Ozette Sockeye Harvest .....	5-7
5.2.4	Nearshore Environment .....	5-8
5.3	OZETTE RIVER .....	5-8
5.3.1	Instream LWD Conditions.....	5-9
5.3.1.1	Effects on In-River Habitat Conditions .....	5-9
5.3.1.2	Hydrologic and Hydraulic Effects .....	5-10
5.3.2	Ozette River Hydrology.....	5-16
5.3.2.1	Peak Flows.....	5-16
5.3.2.2	Low Flows .....	5-16
5.3.2.2.1	Factors Affecting Low Flows .....	5-19
5.3.2.2.1.1	Climate.....	5-19
5.3.2.2.1.2	Stage-Discharge Relationship.....	5-19
5.3.2.2.1.3	Hyporheic Flow .....	5-20
5.3.2.2.1.4	Shoreline Vegetation and Evapotranspiration .....	5-20
5.3.2.2.1.5	Tributary Baseflow Inputs .....	5-20
5.3.2.2.2	Biological Effects.....	5-21
5.3.3	Water Quality.....	5-22
5.3.3.1	Stream Temperature.....	5-22
5.3.3.1.1	Effect of High Water Temperature on Sockeye Salmon .....	5-22
5.3.3.1.2	High Temperature Impacts on Lake Ozette Sockeye Salmon .....	5-24
5.3.3.2	Suspended Sediment and Turbidity .....	5-30
5.3.4	Predation .....	5-37

5.3.4.1	Predators .....	5-38
5.3.4.1.1	Harbor Seals ( <i>Phoca vitulina</i> ) .....	5-38
5.3.4.1.2	River Otters ( <i>Lutra canadensis</i> ) .....	5-40
5.3.4.1.3	Northern Pike minnow ( <i>Ptychocheilus oregonensis</i> ) .....	5-42
5.3.4.1.4	Cutthroat Trout ( <i>Oncorhynchus clarki</i> ) .....	5-44
5.3.4.1.5	Introduced Fish Species .....	5-44
5.3.4.1.6	Terrestrial Mammals .....	5-44
5.3.4.1.7	Avian Predators .....	5-44
5.3.4.2	Factors Affecting Predation .....	5-45
5.3.4.2.1	LWD Removal .....	5-45
5.3.4.2.2	Increases in Aquatic Mammal Abundance .....	5-45
5.3.4.2.3	Abandonment of Ozette Village .....	5-45
5.3.4.2.4	Changes in the Streamflow Regime of the Ozette River .....	5-46
5.3.4.2.5	Decreased Sockeye Abundance .....	5-46
5.3.4.2.6	Changes in Lake and Fisheries Management .....	5-46
5.3.4.2.7	Ozette Sockeye Weir and Smolt Trapping Operations .....	5-46
5.3.5	Directed Sockeye Harvest .....	5-48
5.3.6	Disease .....	5-48
5.4	LAKE OZETTE .....	5-49
5.4.1	Watershed Hydrology and Lake Level .....	5-49
5.4.1.1	Seasonal Lake Level Changes .....	5-51
5.4.2	Spawning Gravel Quality and Quantity .....	5-51
5.4.2.1	Spawning Gravel Quality .....	5-52
5.4.2.1.1	Factors Affecting Spawning Gravel Quality .....	5-53
5.4.2.1.1.1	Sediment Production and Delivery from Tributaries .....	5-53
5.4.2.1.1.2	Small Spawning Population Size .....	5-59
5.4.2.1.1.3	Colonization of Native and Non-Native Vegetation .....	5-60
5.4.2.2	Reduced Spawning Area .....	5-60
5.4.2.2.1	Increased Sediment Production in Tributaries .....	5-61
5.4.2.2.2	Changes in Lake Level .....	5-61
5.4.2.2.3	Colonization of Native and Non-Native Vegetation .....	5-64
5.4.2.3	Other Factors Affecting Egg to Emergence Survival .....	5-64
5.4.3	Water Quality .....	5-64
5.4.4	Food Availability/Competition .....	5-65
5.4.5	Predation .....	5-66
5.4.5.1	Predators .....	5-68
5.4.5.1.1	Harbor Seals ( <i>Phoca vitulina</i> ) .....	5-68
5.4.5.1.2	River Otters ( <i>Lutra canadensis</i> ) .....	5-70
5.4.5.1.3	Northern Pike minnow ( <i>Ptychocheilus oregonensis</i> ) .....	5-71
5.4.5.1.4	Cutthroat Trout ( <i>Oncorhynchus clarki</i> ) .....	5-71
5.4.5.1.5	Sculpin ( <i>Cottus</i> spp.) .....	5-72
5.4.5.1.6	Other Native Fish Species .....	5-72
5.4.5.1.7	Non-Native Fish Species .....	5-73
5.4.5.1.8	Avian Predators .....	5-73
5.4.5.1.9	Terrestrial Mammals .....	5-73
5.4.5.2	Factors Affecting Predation .....	5-74

5.4.5.2.1	LWD Removal in Ozette River.....	5-74
5.4.5.2.2	Increases in Pinniped Population.....	5-74
5.4.5.2.3	Abandonment of Ozette Village.....	5-74
5.4.5.2.4	Decreased Sockeye Abundance.....	5-74
5.4.5.2.5	Changes in Lake and Fisheries Management.....	5-74
5.4.5.2.6	Introduced Species.....	5-74
5.4.6	Disease.....	5-75
5.4.7	Tributary Hatchery Program.....	5-75
5.5	LAKE OZETTE TRIBUTARIES.....	5-76
5.5.1	Watershed Hydrology.....	5-76
5.5.1.1	Summary of Lake Ozette Tributary Hydrology.....	5-76
5.5.1.2	Land Use Effects on Stream Hydrology.....	5-78
5.5.1.2.1	Literature Review.....	5-78
5.5.1.2.2	Implications for Ozette Watershed Hydrology.....	5-84
5.5.1.3	Tributary Streamflow and Sockeye Survival.....	5-87
5.5.1.4	Potential Effects of LWD Removal and Channel Alterations on Streamflow.....	5-91
5.5.2	Water Quality.....	5-91
5.5.3	Floodplain Conditions.....	5-95
5.5.4	Channel Habitat Conditions.....	5-96
5.5.4.1	Instream LWD and Pool Habitat Conditions.....	5-96
5.5.4.1.1	LWD and Instream Habitat Complexity.....	5-97
5.5.4.1.2	Hydrological and Hydraulic Effects.....	5-99
5.5.4.1.3	Effects on Sediment Storage and Stability.....	5-99
5.5.4.2	Spawning Gravel Quality and Quantity.....	5-100
5.5.4.2.1	Fine Sediment in Spawning Gravels.....	5-100
5.5.4.2.2	Decreased Number of Suitable Spawning Habitats.....	5-106
5.5.4.3	Channel Stability (Scour).....	5-107
5.5.5	Predation.....	5-109
5.5.5.1	Predators.....	5-111
5.5.5.1.1	Harbor Seals ( <i>Phoca vitulina</i> ).....	5-111
5.5.5.1.2	River Otters ( <i>Lutra canadensis</i> ).....	5-111
5.5.5.1.3	Native Fish Species.....	5-111
5.5.5.1.4	Introduced Fish Species.....	5-112
5.5.5.2	Factors Affecting Predation.....	5-112
5.5.5.2.1	Large Woody Debris and Stream Habitat Conditions.....	5-112
5.5.5.2.2	Increases in Regional Pinniped Population.....	5-112
5.5.5.2.3	Abandonment of Ozette Village.....	5-112
5.5.6	Competition.....	5-113
5.5.7	Hatchery Broodstock Collection.....	5-115
5.5.8	Disease.....	5-115
5.6	OFF-SHORE MARINE ENVIRONMENT.....	5-115
5.6.1	General Marine Survival.....	5-115
5.6.1.1	Interception and Harvest.....	5-116
5.6.2	Regional Sockeye Population Trends.....	5-121
6	ANALYSIS OF LIMITING FACTORS BY LIFE STAGE.....	6-1

6.1	METHODS AND APPROACH .....	6-1
6.2	LIMITING FACTORS HYPOTHESES BY LIFE STAGE .....	6-6
6.2.1	Adult Sockeye Salmon Entering System .....	6-6
6.2.1.1	Aquatic Mammal Predation .....	6-6
6.2.1.2	Ozette River Habitat Conditions .....	6-7
6.2.1.3	Water Quality .....	6-8
6.2.1.4	Streamflow .....	6-9
6.2.1.5	Tidal Prism and Physical Estuarine Habitat Conditions .....	6-10
6.2.1.6	Fisheries Impacts .....	6-10
6.2.1.7	Disease .....	6-10
6.2.1.8	Research and Monitoring .....	6-11
6.2.2	Adult Sockeye Holding in Lake Ozette .....	6-11
6.2.2.1	Predation, Disease, and Water Quality .....	6-11
6.2.2.2	Fisheries Impacts .....	6-12
6.2.3	Adult Sockeye Staging at Spawning Beaches .....	6-13
6.2.3.1	Predation .....	6-13
6.2.3.2	Water Quality .....	6-13
6.2.4	Adult Sockeye Spawning on Lake Beaches .....	6-13
6.2.4.1	Predation .....	6-14
6.2.4.2	Water Quality .....	6-15
6.2.4.3	Tributary Hatchery Program Impacts .....	6-15
6.2.5	Sockeye Egg Incubation on Beaches .....	6-15
6.2.5.1	Reduced Spawning Habitat Quality and Quantity .....	6-16
6.2.5.2	Egg Predation .....	6-18
6.2.5.3	Seasonal Lake Level Changes .....	6-18
6.2.5.4	Competition (Redd superimposition) .....	6-19
6.2.5.5	Small Population Size .....	6-19
6.2.6	Lake Beach Fry Emergence and Dispersal .....	6-20
6.2.6.1	Fine Sediment .....	6-20
6.2.6.2	Predation .....	6-20
6.2.6.3	Seasonal Lake Level Changes .....	6-20
6.2.7	Adult Sockeye Entering, Migrating, and Holding in Tributaries .....	6-21
6.2.7.1	Predation .....	6-21
6.2.7.2	Holding Pool Quantity and Quality .....	6-21
6.2.7.3	Streamflow .....	6-22
6.2.7.4	Water Quality .....	6-22
6.2.7.5	Research and Monitoring .....	6-23
6.2.8	Adult Sockeye Spawning in Tributaries .....	6-23
6.2.8.1	Predation .....	6-23
6.2.8.2	Holding Pool Quantity and Quality .....	6-24
6.2.8.3	Quantity of Suitable Spawning Habitat .....	6-24
6.2.8.4	Streamflow .....	6-25
6.2.8.5	Kokanee-Sockeye Interactions .....	6-25
6.2.8.6	Water Quality .....	6-26
6.2.8.7	Research and Monitoring .....	6-26
6.2.9	Sockeye Egg Incubation in Tributaries .....	6-27

6.2.9.1	Fine Sediment .....	6-27
6.2.9.2	Channel Stability and Floodplain Alterations .....	6-28
6.2.9.3	Streamflow .....	6-29
6.2.9.4	Water Quality .....	6-30
6.2.9.5	Competition (Redd superimposition) .....	6-30
6.2.9.6	Predation .....	6-31
6.2.9.7	Research and Monitoring .....	6-31
6.2.10	Tributary Fry Emergence and Dispersal .....	6-32
6.2.10.1	Predation .....	6-32
6.2.10.2	Streamflow .....	6-32
6.2.10.3	Water Quality .....	6-33
6.2.11	Juvenile Freshwater Rearing .....	6-34
6.2.11.1	Predation .....	6-34
6.2.11.2	Fisheries Impacts .....	6-35
6.2.11.3	Disease .....	6-35
6.2.11.4	Food Availability/Competition .....	6-36
6.2.12	Seaward Migration .....	6-36
6.2.12.1	Predation .....	6-36
6.2.12.2	Ozette River Habitat Conditions .....	6-37
6.2.12.3	Water Quality .....	6-37
6.2.12.4	Streamflow .....	6-38
6.2.12.5	Tidal Prism and Physical Estuarine Habitat Conditions .....	6-38
6.2.12.6	Fisheries Impacts .....	6-39
6.2.12.7	Disease .....	6-39
6.2.12.8	Research and Monitoring .....	6-39
6.2.13	Marine Ocean Phase .....	6-39
6.2.13.1	Fishery Interception .....	6-39
6.2.13.2	General Marine Survival .....	6-40
7	RESEARCH, MONITORING, AND EVALUATION NEEDS .....	7-1
7.1	ADULT SOCKEYE ENTERING SYSTEM .....	7-1
7.1.1	Adult Sockeye Run Size and Run Timing .....	7-2
7.1.2	Ozette River Streamflow .....	7-2
7.1.3	Predation .....	7-2
7.1.4	Ozette River Water Quality .....	7-3
7.1.5	Ozette River Habitat Conditions .....	7-3
7.1.6	Estuary Conditions .....	7-3
7.2	ADULT SOCKEYE HOLDING IN LAKE OZETTE .....	7-3
7.3	ADULT SOCKEYE STAGING AT SPAWNING BEACHES .....	7-4
7.4	ADULT SOCKEYE SPAWNING ON LAKE BEACHES .....	7-4
7.4.1	Beach Spawner Distribution and Abundance .....	7-5
7.4.2	Spawning Habitat Quantification .....	7-5
7.4.3	Predation .....	7-5
7.4.4	Kokanee-Sockeye Spawning Interaction .....	7-5
7.4.5	Water Quality .....	7-6
7.5	SOCKEYE EGG INCUBATION ON SPAWNING BEACHES .....	7-6
7.5.1	Spawning Habitat Quality and Quantity .....	7-6

7.5.2	Egg Predation.....	7-7
7.5.3	Seasonal Lake Level Changes .....	7-7
7.6	LAKE BEACH FRY EMERGENCE AND DISPERSAL .....	7-8
7.6.1	Sockeye Fry Life History .....	7-8
7.6.2	Fry Predation.....	7-8
7.6.3	Seasonal Lake Level Changes .....	7-8
7.7	ADULT SOCKEYE ENTERING, MIGRATING, AND HOLDING IN TRIBUTARIES.....	7-9
7.7.1	Population Abundance and Distribution .....	7-9
7.7.2	Streamflow .....	7-9
7.7.3	Water Quality .....	7-10
7.7.4	Predation .....	7-10
7.8	ADULT SOCKEYE SPAWNING IN TRIBUTARIES .....	7-11
7.8.1	Streamflow .....	7-11
7.8.2	Spawning Habitat Quality .....	7-11
7.8.3	Spawning Habitat Quantity .....	7-11
7.8.4	Water Quality .....	7-12
7.8.5	Competition.....	7-12
7.9	SOCKEYE EGG INCUBATION IN TRIBUTARIES .....	7-12
7.9.1	Spawning Habitat Quality.....	7-13
7.9.2	Spawning Habitat Quantity .....	7-13
7.9.3	Egg Predation.....	7-13
7.10	TRIBUTARY FRY EMERGENCE AND DISPERSAL .....	7-14
7.10.1	Streamflow .....	7-14
7.10.2	Predation .....	7-14
7.10.3	Water Quality .....	7-15
7.10.4	Fry Abundance.....	7-15
7.11	JUVENILE FRESHWATER REARING .....	7-15
7.11.1	Fry-to-Smolt Survival Rates and Predation .....	7-15
7.11.2	Zooplankton Abundance and Lake Productivity .....	7-16
7.12	SEAWARD MIGRATION .....	7-16
7.12.1	Streamflow .....	7-17
7.12.2	Habitat.....	7-17
7.12.3	Predation .....	7-17
7.12.4	Water Quality.....	7-17
7.12.5	Estuary Alterations.....	7-18
7.13	MARINE OCEAN PHASE .....	7-18
8	CITATIONS .....	8-1
	APPENDIX A- Summary of Sockeye Weir Count Methods Used (1977-2003). .....	1
	APPENDIX B-Summary of Sockeye Run-Size Estimates for RY (1977-1995).....	9
	APPENDIX C- Summary Table of Annual Lake Ozette Sockeye Beach Spawning Ground Surveys .....	10
	APPENDIX D-Summary Table of Lake Ozette Tributary Channel Attributes .....	18
	APPENDIX E-Summary of Lake Ozette Tributary LWD and Habitat Ratings .....	22
	APPENDIX F-List of Ranked Research and Monitoring Priorities (by Life Stage) .....	27

## FIGURES

Figure 1.1. Lake Ozette watershed overview map.....	1-8
Figure 1.2. Designated critical habitat for Lake Ozette sockeye salmon (source: 70 FR 52756) .....	1-10
Figure 1.3. Ozette watershed geology (source: Schasse2003).....	1-12
Figure 1.4. Total wet season, dry season, and annual precipitation by water year for Quillayute Airport weather station WY 1967 to WY 2005 (source: NOAA-NCDC 2005). .....	1-15
Figure 1.5. Average monthly precipitation for Quillayute Airport weather station WY 1967 to WY 2005 (source: NOAA-NCDC 2005).....	1-16
Figure 1.6. PRISM modeled mean annual precipitation (January through December) for various locations in the Ozette watershed for the period of 1967 through 2004. ...	1-17
Figure 1.7. Monthly rainfall comparison, Quillayute versus Ozette for WY 2004 and 2005 (source: NOAA-NCDC 2005; ONP, unpublished data). .....	1-18
Figure 1.8. Ozette Ranger Station weather data for the early summer, 2004 (source: ONP, unpublished data). .....	1-20
Figure 1.9. Rose plot of daily average wind speed and source wind direction at the Quillayute Airport 1966 to 2003 (adapted from Herrera 2005).....	1-20
Figure 1.10. Ozette watershed landownership and landownership type.....	1-25
Figure 1.11. Percentage of old growth forest clear-cut through time for the entire forested portion of the Ozette watershed, as well as the Umbrella Creek, Big River, and Crooked Creek sub-basins. ....	1-29
Figure 1.12. Ozette watershed road lengths and road densities for major sub-basins through time (road lengths based on aerial photo coverage; basin areas used in road density calculations were generated using a digital elevation model). .....	1-30
Figure 1.13. Map depicting the sites that logjams were cleared from the Ozette River (modified from Kramer 1953). ....	1-32
Figure 1.14. Example of typical logjam removed from Ozette River (source: Kramer 1953). .....	1-33
Figure 1.15. Debris racking on jam removed from the Ozette River (source: Kramer 1953). .....	1-33
Figure 1.16. Map depicting existing and removed logjams in Big River in 1952 (modified from Kramer 1953). ....	1-34
Figure 2.1. Annual peak kokanee counts per mile for select streams during spawning years 1977, 1978, 1979, 1989, 1998, and 2003 (source: Dlugokenski et al. 1981; MFM spawning ground survey database).....	2-4
Figure 2.2. Coho salmon weir counts and harvest trends for available data from 1924 through 1999 (source: Kemmerich 1945; Jacobs et al. 1996, MFM 2000). .....	2-6
Figure 2.3. Summary of the annual number of spawning ground surveys conducted within index survey reaches and annual peak coho counts per mile in Big River and Boe Creek spawning ground index reaches from 1974 to 1986 and 1998 to 2004 (source: WDFW spawning ground survey database; MFM spawning ground survey database). ....	2-7
Figure 2.4. Known and presumed coho distribution in the Lake Ozette Watershed (source: MFM, unpublished fish distribution data). ....	2-9

Figure 2.5. Relationship between streamflow and the first coho salmon captured in the Umbrella Creek weir for return years 2001 through 2004 (source: hydrologic data from USGS gage 12043300; biological data from MFM, unpublished weir records).	2-10
Figure 2.6. Daily and cumulative coho fry counts conducted near RM 1.0 in Umbrella Creek using a winged fyke net during the spring of 1999 (source: MFM, unpublished trap data).	2-11
Figure 2.7. Chum salmon harvest from gillnets fishing Ozette River (1948-1955) contrasted with chum salmon harvest from nearby Olympic Peninsula rivers (source: WDF 1955; Dlugokenski et al. 1981).	2-15
Figure 2.8. Chinook salmon harvest from gillnets fishing the Ozette River (1948-1958) contrasted with Chinook salmon harvest data from nearby Olympic Peninsula rivers (source: WDF 1955; Dlugokenski et al. 1981).	2-18
Figure 2.9. Summary of mainstem Big River steelhead spawning ground survey data from RYs 1987 through 2003, excluding RYs 2001 and 2002, when no data were collected (source: MFM, unpublished spawning ground survey data).	2-22
Figure 2.10. Daily and cumulative steelhead fry counts conducted near RM 0.8 in Umbrella Creek using a winged fyke net during the summer of 1999 (source: MFM, unpublished trap data).	2-23
Figure 3.1. Conceptualization of Lake Ozette sockeye life history and timing (modified from Jacobs et al. 1996). (Note that migration, tributary spawning, beach spawning, and smolt emigration are scaled to the estimated relative abundance of animals displaying a life history trait through time, whereas holding, incubation, emergence, and rearing are plotted without a scale of relative abundance.)	3-1
Figure 3.2. Mean daily run proportion and the mean 7-day moving average for return years 1998-2003 (source: Haggerty 2005d).	3-2
Figure 3.3. Observed and estimated cumulative run proportion by return year for years 1998 through 2003 (source: Haggerty 2005d).	3-3
Figure 3.4. RY 2000 transit times from estuary to weir for 28 tagged sockeye (source: Gearin et al. 2002).	3-4
Figure 3.5. A comparison of day- and night-time sockeye transits through the weir relative to lake level for combined sockeye counts from return years 1999, 2000, 2002, and 2003 (source: Haggerty 2005d).	3-5
Figure 3.6. Unadjusted daily and cumulative sockeye trap counts from the Umbrella Creek weir located at RM 0.8 (modified from Hinton et al. 2002).	3-7
Figure 3.7. Current and historical Lake Ozette sockeye beach spawning locations (source: MFM, unpublished spawning ground survey data; Dlugokenski et al. 1981; Meyer and Brenkman 2001).	3-8
Figure 3.8. Depiction of current Olsen's Beach sockeye spawning use categorized as concentrated, core, and dispersed, as well as the relative position of the spawning ground survey lead line used for data collection in 1999, 2000, and 2001 (source: map was generated using a collection of unpublished spawning ground survey and GPS datasets provided by MFM).	3-9
Figure 3.9. Cross-section through the middle of the core spawning area of Olsen's Beach depicting the three spawning zones contrasted with median monthly lake level data from WY 1981 through 2004 (source: ONP and MFM, unpublished data).	3-10



Figure 3.10. Map depicting Allen's Beach spawning use and dominant substrate types (MFM, unpublished habitat data). .....	3-13
Figure 3.11. Timing and abundance of brood year 1978 beach spawners (modified from Dlugokenski et al. 1981). .....	3-14
Figure 3.12. Combined live and dead sockeye counts for Allen's and Olsen's beaches (RY 2000-2004; source: MFM, unpublished spawning ground survey data). .....	3-14
Figure 3.13 Average daily temperature at Olsen's and Allen's beaches during BY 1998 spawning and incubation periods. Note: Average daily temperature is the mean of the average daily surface, bottom, and sub-gravel water temperature. The actual sub-gravel temperatures may be slightly warmer. (Source: MFM, unpublished water temperature data). .....	3-15
Figure 3.14. Comparison of average daily surface water temperature and cumulative temperature units during the sockeye incubation period on Olsen's and Allen's beaches based upon the average daily temperature of three sites on each beach (source: MFM unpublished water temperature data). .....	3-16
Figure 3.15. Typical spawning site in Umbrella Creek. ....	3-17
Figure 3.16. Known spawning distribution of Lake Ozette sockeye tributary spawners (source: MFM, unpublished spawning ground survey data). ....	3-18
Figure 3.17. Umbrella Creek daily abundance relative to the peak number of sockeye observed per mile for return years 2000-2004 (RM 2.52-4.78) (source: MFM, unpublished spawning ground survey data). .....	3-19
Figure 3.18. Comparison of average daily water temperature in Umbrella Creek and Lake Ozette spawning beaches for brood year 1998 (source: MFM 2000). .....	3-20
Figure 3.19. Spring 1999 daily and cumulative sockeye fry count (modified from MFM 2000). ....	3-21
Figure 3.20. Ozette River daily sockeye smolt counts, average trap efficiency expanded cumulative sockeye smolt counts, and time differential trap efficiency expanded cumulative smolt counts, spring 2004 (source: Peterschmidt 2005) .....	3-25
Figure 3.21. Total number of sockeye fry or fingerlings and eggs produced from broodstock collected at Lake Ozette beach spawning grounds released into various areas of the Lake Ozette watershed from 1984 through 2000 (BY 1983 to BY 1999) (source: MFM, unpublished hatchery release data). .....	3-29
Figure 3.22. Historical abundance of Lake Ozette sockeye (RY1924-1926 and RY1948-1976) based on Kemmerich (1945) and Jacobs et al. (1996). .....	3-40
Figure 3.23. Estimated Lake Ozette sockeye run sizes for return years 1996 to 2003 contrasted with the proportion of the run-size estimates that were based upon expansion and the percentage of run-days in which the weir was deployed (source: Haggerty 2004a, 2005a, 2005b, 2005c, 2005d). .....	3-43
Figure 3.24. Lake Ozette Sockeye run-size estimates adjusted based on sockeye detection rates and new run-timing curves for RY 1977-1995, grouped by brood year with run-size estimates for 1996-2003 (source: Haggerty 2004a, 2005a, 2005b, 2005c, 2005d). ....	3-45
Figure 3.25. Minimum number of beach spawning sockeye from peak observations and/or captures by brood year contrasted with spawning ground survey effort. For years with no relative survey effort values, effort is unknown. Note that only a few of the survey cards for 2001 have been located; this is a minimum estimate and may	

change when the data are located. Also note that 2004 survey data does not include dive survey data (source: Dlugokenski et al. 1981; Jacobs et al. 1996; MFM, unpublished spawning ground survey data).....	3-47
Figure 3.26. Minimum number of beach spawning sockeye from peak observations and/or captures from Allen's and Olsen's beaches. Note that only a few of the survey cards for 2001 have been located; this is a minimum estimate and it may change when the data are located. Also note that 2004 survey data do not include dive survey data (source: Dlugokenski et al. 1981; Jacobs et al. 1996; MFM, unpublished spawning ground survey data).....	3-48
Figure 3.27. Umbrella Creek annual peak sockeye counts from spawning ground surveys compared with hatchery releases within Umbrella Creek and releases outside of Umbrella Creek. Estimated run sizes for Umbrella Creek (for 2001-2004) are from a weir and trap using mark and recapture techniques (source: Hinton et al. 2002; Peterschmidt and Hinton 2005; MFM, unpublished spawning ground surveys)...	3-52
Figure 4.1. Ozette River spit evolution from 1957 to 1997 (source: Smith 2000). .....	4-3
Figure 4.2. Generalized locations of beach substrate conditions suitable for sockeye salmon spawning (modified from Bortleson and Dion 1979). .....	4-5
Figure 4.3. Comparison of water temperatures in substrate and directly above substrate at three sites on both Olsen's and Allen's beaches during the 1999/2000 sockeye spawning and incubation period (source: MFM, unpublished water temperature data).....	4-6
Figure 4.4. Olsen's Beach gravel sampling results for 1999 and 2000 and sample proximity to different categories of spawning use. (Note: The lead line corresponds to concentrated spawning use outside of the core use area.) (Source: MFM, unpublished data.).....	4-7
Figure 4.5. Allen's Beach gravel sampling results from 1999 and sample proximity to different categories of spawning use. (Note: There are two lead lines at Allen's Beach: Allen's and South Allen's. Note: Two gravel samples were located in the dispersed spawning use category.) (Source: MFM, unpublished shoreline survey data.).....	4-9
Figure 4.6. Comparison of beach slopes using typical cross-sections from Olsen's Beach core and concentrated spawning areas and Allen's Beach concentrated spawning use area. (Source: MFM, unpublished beach topography data.).....	4-10
Figure 4.7. Comparison of 1964 and 2002 shoreline and delta conditions at the mouth of Umbrella Creek (source: Herrera 2005) .....	4-11
Figure 4.8. Comparison of a portion of Allen's Beach from 1953 to 2003. Red polygons delineate unvegetated shoreline in 1953 (left image) and yellow polygons delineate unvegetated area in 2003 (middle and right images).....	4-14
Figure 4.9. Comparison of a portion of Olsen's Beach from 1953 to 2003. Red polygons delineate unvegetated shoreline in 1953 (left image) and yellow polygons delineate unvegetated area in 2003 (middle and right images).....	4-16
Figure 4.10. Change in unvegetated area from 1953 to 2003 along overlapping 1,000 ft. segments of Lake Ozette shoreline. ....	4-18
Figure 4.11. Seasonal variation in temperature-depth profiles for Lake Ozette (modified from Jacobs et al. 1996; source data: Meyer and Brenkman 2001).....	4-21

Figure 4.12. Locations of Lake Ozette watershed stream and turbidity gages operated by MFM. ....	4-26
Figure 4.13. Lake Ozette stage hydrograph, 1976-2005 (source: USGS, ONP, and MFM lake stage data). ....	4-27
Figure 4.14. Lake Ozette water level duration curves for the period 1976 through 2005 (source: USGS, ONP, and MFM lake stage data). ....	4-28
Figure 4.15. Sample Lake Ozette hydrographs for 1985 (dry winter), 1990 (avg. winter), 1999 (wet winter), and 2003 (dry summer) (source: USGS, ONP, MFM). ....	4-28
Figure 4.16. Lake Ozette level duration curves for 1985 (dry winter), 1990 (average winter), 1999 (wet winter), 2003 (dry summer), and all water years (1983-1993; 1999-2005) (source: USGS, ONP, and MFM). ....	4-29
Figure 4.17. Lake Ozette annual maximum lake level for the period of record (source: USGS, ONP, and MFM lake level data). ....	4-31
Figure 4.18. Regression of maximum annual stage and winter precipitation (October through April) (source: USGS, ONP, and MFM lake level data). ....	4-31
Figure 4.19. Lake Ozette annual minimum lake level for the period of record (source: USGS, ONP, and MFM lake level data). ....	4-32
Figure 4.20. Regression of minimum annual stage and summer precipitation (July through September) (source: USGS, ONP, and MFM lake level data). ....	4-32
Figure 4.21. Average timing of distinct flood or low flow events for Washington State streams (sources: USGS, ONP, and MFM). ....	4-33
Figure 4.22. Stage-area-volume relationship for Lake Ozette based upon LiDAR data and modeled shoreline (modified from Herrera 2005). ....	4-34
Figure 4.23. Lake Ozette surface area versus stage relationship (modified from Herrera 2005). ....	4-35
Figure 4.24. Lake Ozette stage at North Ozette (River) and South Ozette (Tivoli Island) (source: MFM unpublished lake level data). ....	4-36
Figure 4.25. Instantaneous (15-minute) wind seiche differences contrasted with Ozette River discharge (source: MFM lake and river stage data). ....	4-37
Figure 4.26. Longitudinal profile of Ozette River depicting both minimum stream bed elevation and water surface elevations at various lake stages (source: MFM, unpublished survey data; Herrera 2005). ....	4-38
Figure 4.27. Ozette River channel cross-section mid-way between the ONP footbridge and the lake's outlet (source: MFM unpublished stream survey data). Note this cross-section is typical of the lake-to-river transition zone at the lake outlet, but not of the fluvial portions of the Ozette River. ....	4-39
Figure 4.28. Photo illustrating large trees spanning the Ozette River (photo looking upstream; source: MFM photo archive). ....	4-42
Figure 4.29. Typical Ozette River bottom conditions where freshwater mussel beds are present (source: Andy Ritchie). ....	4-43
Figure 4.30. Ozette River 7-day moving average maximum stream temperature near Coal Creek from 1993-2005 (source: MFM unpublished stream temperature data; Meyer and Brenkman 2001). ....	4-46
Figure 4.31. Number of days sampled and the number of days stream temperature exceeded 16, 18, and 20 °C in the Ozette River from 1993 through 2005 (source: MFM unpublished stream temperature data; Meyer and Brenkman 2001). ....	4-47

Figure 4.32. Ozette River daily maximum temperature at the Ozette counting weir, just downstream of Coal Creek, 2 miles downstream of lake, and 3.5 miles downstream of lake (source: MFM unpublished data).....	4-48
Figure 4.33. Instantaneous stream discharge measurements (source: USGS, Meyer and Brenkman 2001; MFM, unpublished discharge data).....	4-49
Figure 4.34. Ozette River rating curve developed by MFM (source: MFM unpublished data).....	4-50
Figure 4.35. Correlation between MFM stage readings and ONP staff gage (source: MFM unpublished data; ONP unpublished data). ....	4-51
Figure 4.36. Longitudinal bed elevation profile of Ozette River depicting the hydraulic control point (source: MFM unpublished data; Herrera 2005). ....	4-52
Figure 4.37. Comparison of USGS, ONP, and MFM stage-discharge relationships for the Ozette River. ....	4-53
Figure 4.38. Cross-section elevation data collected from the upstream bridge railing on the Ozette River (source: USGS; MFM, unpublished data). ....	4-54
Figure 4.39. Cross-section elevation data collected from both the upstream and downstream bridge railings on the Ozette River (source: USGS; MFM, unpublished data).....	4-55
Figure 4.40. Comparison of discharge and stage of Coal Creek, Ozette River, and Lake Ozette during partial flow reversal at Ozette River stream gage (source: MFM unpublished data). ....	4-57
Figure 4.41. Photo depicting partial flow reversal of the Ozette River on July 8, 2005, blue arrows represent approximate velocity vectors (source: MFM). ....	4-57
Figure 4.42. Reconstructed Ozette River discharge contrasted by estimates produced by USGS and MFM rating curves. ....	4-59
Figure 4.43. Ozette River bracketed annual peak flow discharges for water years 1977 through 2005 (source: USGS, ONP, and MFM stage data).....	4-60
Figure 4.44. Ozette River bracketed annual low flow discharges for water years 1977 through 2005 (source: USGS, ONP, and MFM stage data).....	4-60
Figure 4.45. Ozette River discharge, summers 1976-1979 and 2002-2005 (source: USGS and MFM streamflow data). ....	4-62
Figure 4.46. Ozette River below Coal Creek, annually (1962-1999) dispersed flow duration curve (source: data synthesized by USBOR). ....	4-65
Figure 4.47. Ozette River at confluence with Pacific Ocean, annually (1962-1999) dispersed flow duration curve (source: data synthesized by USBOR). ....	4-65
Figure 4.48. Umbrella Creek watershed LWD >50cm diameter and total LWD piece count per 100 meters calculated for each habitat segment inventoried (source: Haggerty and Ritchie 2004). ....	4-69
Figure 4.49. Pool habitat condition ratings for percent pools, pool frequency, percent woody cover, and holding pool frequency for channel segments surveyed in the Umbrella Creek watershed (source: Haggerty and Ritchie 2004). ....	4-70
Figure 4.50. Umbrella Creek 7-day moving average maximum stream temperature near Hoko-Ozette Road from 1993-2005 (source: MFM, unpublished stream temperature data; Meyer and Brenkman 2001).....	4-74

Figure 4.51. Number of days sampled and the number of days stream temperature exceeded 16, 18, and 20 °C in Lower Umbrella Creek (1993-2005) (source: MFM, unpublished stream temperature data; Meyer and Brenkman 2001). .....	4-74
Figure 4.52. Summary of lower Umbrella Creek maximum daily stream temperature data for the period July 15 through August 15 (1993-2004) (source: MFM, unpublished stream temperature data; Meyer and Brenkman 2001). .....	4-75
Figure 4.53. Preliminary results from continuous turbidity readings and provisional stream discharge data for Umbrella Creek (source: MFM, unpublished data). .....	4-77
Figure 4.54. Provisional Umbrella Creek discharge data plotted with USBOR synthesized monthly average streamflow exceedence curves (source: MFM, unpublished data; Lieb and Perry 2004). .....	4-78
Figure 4.55. Riparian and floodplain alterations within 200 feet of the bankfull edge of Big River (source: channel-segments based on Haggerty and Ritchie 2004; alterations based on 2003 aerial photo review and miscellaneous observations). ..	4-80
Figure 4.56. Nine-year photo history of Big River just upstream of the Hoko-Ozette Road bridge near confluence with Stony Creek. Photos illustrate progressive bank erosion and channel widening. (Note: Red dots are in the same position in each photo for reference.) .....	4-85
Figure 4.57. Photo depicting knotweed colonization along the mainstem Big River (source: photo from Clallam County Noxious Weed Control Board 2005). .....	4-88
Figure 4.58. Big River watershed LWD >50cm diameter and total LWD piece count per 100 meters calculated for each habitat segment inventoried (source: Haggerty and Ritchie 2004). .....	4-89
Figure 4.59. Pool habitat condition ratings for percent pools, pool frequency, percent woody cover, and holding pool frequency for channel segments surveyed in the Big River watershed (source: Haggerty and Ritchie 2004). .....	4-92
Figure 4.60. Big River daily maximum and 7-day moving average maximum stream temperature near Trout Creek during the summers of 1997 and 2004 (source: MFM, unpublished stream temperature data). .....	4-96
Figure 4.61. Big River daily maximum and 7-day moving average maximum stream temperature near Solberg and Trout creeks during the summer of 2002 (source: MFM, unpublished stream temperature data). .....	4-97
Figure 4.62. Fecal coliform concentrations from three sites along Big River from 2002 to 2005, contrasted with Hoko River streamflow data and Washington State Water Quality Standards (source: USGS streamflow data; MFM, unpublished water quality data). .....	4-98
Figure 4.63. Preliminary results from continuous turbidity readings and provisional stream discharge data for Big River (source: MFM, unpublished data). .....	4-99
Figure 4.64. Big River turbidity and discharge data for July 2005 storm events (source: MFM, unpublished data). .....	4-100
Figure 4.65. Relationship between discharge and median turbidity during four Big River storm events (source: MFM, unpublished data). .....	4-101
Figure 4.66. Longitudinal changes in turbidity in Big River during February 2005 precipitation event (source: MFM, unpublished data). .....	4-102

Figure 4.67. Provisional Big River discharge data plotted with USBOR synthesized monthly average streamflow exceedence curves (source: MFM unpublished data; Lieb and Perry 2004). .....	4-103
Figure 4.68. Crooked Creek watershed LWD >50cm diameter and total LWD piece count per 100 meters calculated for each habitat segment inventoried (source: Haggerty and Ritchie 2004). .....	4-106
Figure 4.69. Pool habitat condition ratings for percent pools, pool frequency, percent woody cover, and holding pool frequency for channel segments surveyed in the Crooked Creek watershed (source: Haggerty and Ritchie 2004). .....	4-107
Figure 4.70. Lower Crooked Creek 7-day moving average daily maximum stream temperature 1990-1997 (source: MFM, unpublished stream temperature data; Klinge 1991; Meyer and Brenkman 2001). .....	4-109
Figure 4.71. Number of days sampled and the number of days stream temperature exceeded 16, 18, and 20 °C in Lower Crooked Creek from 1990 through 1997 (source: MFM, unpublished stream temperature data; Klinge 1991; Meyer and Brenkman 2001). .....	4-110
Figure 4.72. Preliminary results from continuous turbidity readings and provisional stream discharge data for Crooked River (source: MFM, unpublished data). .....	4-111
Figure 4.73. Provisional Crooked Creek discharge data (source: MFM, unpublished data). .....	4-112
Figure 4.74. Coal Creek watershed LWD >50cm diameter and total LWD piece count per 100 meters calculated for each habitat segment inventoried (source: Haggerty and Ritchie 2004). .....	4-115
Figure 4.75. Coal Creek pool habitat condition ratings for percent pools, pool frequency, percent woody cover, and holding pool frequency for channel segments surveyed in the Coal Creek watershed (source: Haggerty and Ritchie 2004). .....	4-116
Figure 4.76. Relationship between primary pool forming agent and pool depth and percent pool cover for Coal Creek (source: Haggerty and Ritchie 2004). Note: L+ = LWD>50cm diameter and > 5m length; L/L- = LWD> 50cm diameter < 5m length; medium = LWD 50-20cm diameter; small = LWD 10-20cm diameter; moderate woody cover = 6-20% cover; and good woody cover = >20% woody cover. ....	4-117
Figure 4.77. Coal Creek maximum daily stream temperature at six sites during the summer of 1997 (source: MFM and Green Crow, unpublished data). .....	4-120
Figure 4.78. Coal Creek maximum daily stream temperature at six sites during the summer of 1999 (source: QNR and Green Crow, unpublished data). .....	4-121
Figure 4.79. Coal Creek 7-day moving average maximum stream temperature near Seafeld Mainline Bridge (MFM, Green Crow, and QNR, unpublished stream temperature data). .....	4-122
Figure 4.80. Number of days sampled and the number of days stream temperature exceeded 16, 18, and 20°C in Lower Coal Creek from 1997 through 2005 (MFM, Green Crow, and QNR, unpublished stream temperature data). .....	4-123
Figure 4.81. Provisional continuous turbidity and stream discharge data for Coal Creek (source: MFM, unpublished data). .....	4-125
Figure 4.82. Relationships between median turbidity and SSC at Coal Creek (source: MFM, unpublished data). .....	4-125

Figure 4.83. Provisional SSC and stream discharge data for Coal Creek (source: MFM, unpublished data).....	4-126
Figure 4.84. Turbidity, discharge, and calculated SSC during a Coal Creek storm event (source: MFM, unpublished data).....	4-126
Figure 4.85. Provisional Coal Creek discharge data (source: MFM, unpublished hydrologic data).....	4-127
Figure 4.86. Siwash Creek watershed LWD >50cm diameter and total LWD piece count per 100 meters calculated for each habitat segment inventoried (source: Haggerty and Ritchie 2004).....	4-130
Figure 4.87. Pool habitat condition ratings for percent pools, pool frequency, percent woody cover, and holding pool frequency for channel segments surveyed in Siwash Creek (source: Haggerty and Ritchie 2004).....	4-132
Figure 4.88. Siwash Creek daily maximum and minimum stream temperature data near ONP boundary (source: Meyer and Brenkman 2001). ....	4-134
Figure 4.89. Provisional Siwash Creek continuous turbidity data contrasted with Crooked Creek stream discharge data (source: MFM, unpublished data).....	4-135
Figure 4.90. Instantaneous discharge measurements for Siwash, Crooked, Umbrella, and South Creeks (source: Meyer and Brenkman 2001).....	4-136
Figure 5.1. Sockeye captured in the Ozette River estuary with “old” arch marks and “new” bite marks (source: MFM photo archives).....	5-3
Figure 5.2. Makah tribal harvest of Lake Ozette sockeye (source: WDF 1955; Jacobs et al. 1996). ....	5-7
Figure 5.3. Location of large woody debris obstructions in July 2004 in the Ozette River and WDF logjam removal locations from summer 1952 (source: Kramer 1953; Herrera 2005).....	5-11
Figure 5.4. Comparison of modeled water surface elevations at the lake’s outlet for existing conditions, existing conditions plus 20% increase in jam blockage, and no logjams (source: Herrera 2005). ....	5-13
Figure 5.5. Comparison of modeled water surface elevations at the lake’s outlet for existing conditions, no jams, and 200-foot spacing at 20, 40, and 60 percent blockage (source: Herrera 2005).....	5-14
Figure 5.6. Comparison of modeled water surface elevations at the lake’s outlet for existing conditions, no jams, and 500-foot spacing at 20, 40, 60, and 80 percent blockage (source: Herrera 2005).....	5-14
Figure 5.7. Comparison of modeled water surface elevations at the lake’s outlet for existing conditions, no jams, and 1,000-foot spacing at 20, 40, 60, and 80 percent blockage (source: Herrera 2005).....	5-15
Figure 5.8. Comparison of Ozette River 1979 and 2002 summer low flow discharge estimates and stage data (source: USGS and MFM). ....	5-17
Figure 5.9. Comparison of Lake Ozette WY 1979 and WY 2002 lake stage and monthly and cumulative water year precipitation at Quillayute Airport (source: USGS and MFM, published and unpublished streamflow data; NOAA-NCDC 2005). ....	5-18
Figure 5.10. Comparison of Ozette River WY 1979 and WY 2002 streamflow discharge and monthly and cumulative water year precipitation at Quillayute Airport (source: USGS and MFM, published and unpublished streamflow data; NOAA-NCDC 2005). ....	5-18

Figure 5.11. 2003 sockeye return (plotted as daily percentage of the total return) in relation to the observed 2003 Ozette River discharge vs. the theoretical historical discharge based on 1979 USGS rating curve (source: USGS and MFM, published and unpublished streamflow data; Haggerty 2005a).	5-22
Figure 5.12. Comparison of Ozette River daily average mean and maximum stream temperature observed from 1993 through 2005, Ozette sockeye smolt emigration timing (2002-2004), and adult run timing (RY 1998-2003). Data sources: Peterschmidt and Hinton 2005 (smolt data); Haggerty 2004a, 2005a, 2005b, 2005c, and 2005d (adult data); Meyer and Brenkman 2001 and MFM, unpublished data (water temperature).	5-25
Figure 5.13. Ozette River maximum and average daily stream temperature in 2002 contrasted with RY 2002 cumulative sockeye run-timing curve (source: Haggerty 2004a [adult data]; MFM, unpublished data [water temperature]).	5-26
Figure 5.14. Ozette River maximum and average daily stream temperature in 2003 contrasted with RY 2003 cumulative sockeye run-timing curve (source: Haggerty 2004a [adult data]; MFM, unpublished data [water temperature]).	5-27
Figure 5.15. Ozette River maximum and average daily stream temperature in 2004 contrasted with the preliminary RY 2004 cumulative sockeye run-timing curve (source: Haggerty 2004a [adult data]; MFM, unpublished data [water temperature]).	5-27
Figure 5.16. Estimated percentage of annual sockeye returns (RY 2002-2004) to Lake Ozette exposed to various temperature range categories (source: Haggerty 2004a, 2005a, and MFM unpublished data).	5-28
Figure 5.17. Spring and summer monthly summary of the percentage of days when 2-day and daily precipitation totals exceed specified ranges (Quillayute Airport Data 1967-2004) and the estimated monthly daily average percent of total adult and smolt sockeye population contained in the Ozette River (adult sockeye percent based on monthly daily mean proportion of sockeye run transiting the counting weir in RYs 1998-2003 [from Haggerty 2005d] and a mean 3-day residence time in the Ozette River; sockeye smolt percentage based on 2002-2004 smolt emigration data and a 3-day residence time in the Ozette River).	5-32
Figure 5.18. Correlation between peak discharge and peak SSC in Coal Creek near Ozette River (source: MFM, unpublished data).	5-33
Figure 5.19. Relationship between total 24-hour rainfall and peak SSC in Coal Creek (source: MFM, unpublished data).	5-33
Figure 5.20. Relationship between total 24-hour rainfall and the event average SSC in Coal Creek (source: MFM, unpublished data).	5-34
Figure 5.21. Comparison between 2002 daily number of seals detected by time-lapse VCR, lake level, and peak sockeye migration period (modified from Haggerty 2004a).	5-40
Figure 5.22. Frequency of most common prey identified from river otter scats collected in the Ozette River during June 1998 (n=40; modified from Gearin et al. 1999).	5-41
Figure 5.23. Annual number of otters, sockeye-otter predation events, and number of otter-sockeye kill events observed at the Ozette counting weir from 1998 through 2004 (source: Haggerty 2004a, 2005a, 2005b, 2005c, 2005d; MFM unpublished data).	5-42



Figure 5.24. Cumulative northern pikeminnow and sockeye and coho smolt captures in the Ozette River, Spring 2004 (source: MFM, unpublished data).....	5-43
Figure 5.25. Photo looking from the right to the left bank of the Ozette River, showing the counting weir, adult trap, and rotary screw trap. ....	5-47
Figure 5.26. Comparison of observed mean lake level for October 15, 2004 through January 30, 2005 and different wood loading scenarios in the Ozette River and their corresponding predicted mean lake levels (modified from Herrera 2005). Note that this predicted mean lake level was for the modeled spawning period (October 15, 2004 to January 30, 2005), and does not correspond to the long-term measured mean lake level of 34 feet (Section 4.3.5). ....	5-50
Figure 5.27. Percent of old growth forest clear-cut contrasted with total delta and proximal delta area change through time.....	5-54
Figure 5.28. Relationship between percent old growth forest clear-cut and total and proximal delta growth for the Umbrella Creek sub-basin. ....	5-55
Figure 5.29. Umbrella Creek road density contrasted with entire and proximal delta growth through time.....	5-55
Figure 5.30. Relationship between road density and total and proximal delta growth for the Umbrella Creek watershed.....	5-56
Figure 5.31. Magnitude and direction of dominant relative longshore transport for eight sites on Lake Ozette (modified from Herrera 2006).....	5-58
Figure 5.32. Comparison of lowest observed stage during projected incubation period at Olsen's Beach (RY 2003) core spawning area and modeled lowest water surface elevations during incubation for two Ozette River wood loading scenarios (based on Herrera 2005 model outputs). ....	5-62
Figure 5.33. Comparison of lowest stage observed during projected egg incubation period at Olsen's Beach (RY 2003) spawning areas and modeled lowest WSEs during incubation for two Ozette River wood loading scenarios. ....	5-63
Figure 5.34. Comparison of lowest stage observed during projected egg incubation period at Allen's Beach (RY 2003) spawning areas and modeled lowest WSEs during incubation for two Ozette River wood loading scenarios. ....	5-63
Figure 5.35. Pre-spawning predation mortality recovered from Allen's Beach October 25, 2000 (CART Tag No. 062; source: MFM photo archives).....	5-67
Figure 5.36. Summed partial inflow and outflow hydrographs for Lake Ozette.....	5-78
Figure 5.37. Watershed risk rating (source: USDA 1993).....	5-85
Figure 5.38. Umbrella Creek average daily stream discharge and sockeye spawn timing and preferred flow conditions (modified from Bortleson and Dion 1979).....	5-87
Figure 5.39. Example of dewatered and partially dewatered sockeye salmon redds in Big River during week 9 (source: MFM photo archives).....	5-89
Figure 5.40. Big River hydrograph during water year 2006 sockeye spawning period (source: MFM provisional streamflow data). ....	5-89
Figure 5.41. Big River flow duration curves over the 16-week spawning period for sockeye salmon, water year 2006. Weeks 1 and 2 (27-Sep to 10-Oct); Weeks 3 and 4 (11 Oct to 24 Oct); Weeks 5 and 6 (25 Oct to 7 Nov), Weeks 7 and 8 (8 Nov to 21 Nov); Weeks 9 and 10 (22 Nov to 5 Dec); Weeks 11 and 12 (6 Dec to 19 Dec); Weeks 13 and 14 (20 Dec to 2 Jan); Weeks 15 and 16 (3 Jan to 16 Jan). Source data based on MFM provisional streamflow data. ....	5-90

Figure 5.42. Period of sockeye salmon fry and adult utilization in Lake Ozette tributaries contrasted with annual average daily maximum stream temperature in lower Umbrella Creek (n=9), Big River (RM 1.7-4.8; n=4), and lower Crooked Creek (n=4).....	5-92
Figure 5.43. Peak turbidity (NTUs) for Big River and Coal, Umbrella, Crooked, and Siwash Creeks during 21 small to large scale storm events (source: MFM, unpublished turbidity data). ....	5-93
Figure 5.44. Duration of time (hours) that turbidity exceeded a given NTU during the spawning or emigration period (Umbrella Creek, WY 2006; source: MFM, unpublished data). ....	5-94
Figure 5.45. Ozette watershed tributary segment level LWD habitat ratings (source data from Haggerty and Ritchie 2004). ....	5-96
Figure 5.46. Relationship between primary pool forming agent and pool depth and cover for Ozette tributaries (source: Haggerty and Ritchie 2004). ....	5-98
Figure 5.47. LWD piece count and percent pool habitat formed by pool forming agent for Ozette tributaries (source: Haggerty and Ritchie 2004). ....	5-98
Figure 5.48. Relationship between fine sediment (<0.85 mm) in spawning gravels and percent of watershed area clearcut for Olympic Peninsula streams (source: Rittmueller 1986; McHenry et al. 1994). ....	5-104
Figure 5.49. Relationship between fine sediment (<0.85 mm) in spawning gravels and road density for Olympic Peninsula streams (source: Rittmueller 1986; McHenry et al. 1994). ....	5-105
Figure 5.50. Relationship between fine sediment (<0.85 mm) in spawning gravels and road density for Olympic Peninsula and Ozette high road density watersheds (source: Cederholm et al. 1982; Rittmueller 1986; McHenry et al. 1994) .....	5-105
Figure 5.51. Example of kokanee-size <i>O. nerka</i> spawning with adult sockeye (source: MFM photo archives). ....	5-114
Figure 5.52. SEAK (Dixon Entrance) and North WCVI (Canadian Area 127) marine area timing estimates back-calculated from 1998-2003 average run timing, assuming rate of 32 miles per day and applied to distances from Ozette River. ....	5-117
Figure 5.53. Assumed Lake Ozette sockeye ocean migration route.....	5-118
Figure 5.54. Alaska Department of Fish and Game southern Southeastern Alaska regulatory districts. ....	5-119
Figure 5.55. British Columbia and Washington State fishery management areas, including Fraser River Panel Area (boundaries and units are approximated). ....	5-119
Figure 5.56. Estimated Lake Ozette sockeye Dixon Entrance migration timing compared with recent SEAK D104 purse seine opening time span. ....	5-120
Figure 5.57. Estimated Lake Ozette sockeye WCVI migration timing compared with Canadian Areas 121-127 sockeye troll fisheries opening time span (1985-1995) and PSC Area 20 gillnet test fishery.....	5-121
Figure 6.1. Beach spawning sockeye life history and rated limiting factors. ....	6-2
Figure 6.2. Tributary spawning sockeye life history and rated limiting factors. ....	6-2

## TABLES

Table 1.1. Lake Ozette and tributary drainage basin areas. ....	1-6
Table 1.2. PRISM modeled precipitation for various locations in the Ozette watershed for the period of 1967 through 2004. ....	1-17
Table 1.3. Land ownership types as a percentage of watershed area for the four largest Lake Ozette watershed sub-basins. ....	1-24
Table 1.4. Reported percent of Ozette basin clear-cut at least once since 1953 (source: Jacobs et al. 1996; Herrera 2006). ....	1-28
Table 2.1. Summary of spawning kokanee length data collected during genetic tissue sampling in several Lake Ozette tributaries (source: MFM, unpublished genetic tissue database). ....	2-3
Table 2.2. Ozette River coho smolt trapping periods, total coho smolts counted, expanded counts (based on trap efficiency [TE]), and estimated total coho smolt production (based on estimates for missing periods of the emigration period) (source: MFM, unpublished data). ....	2-13
Table 2.3. Summary of Ozette Watershed coho hatchery releases (source: RMIS database query 2005) ....	2-14
Table 2.4. Ozette River steelhead smolt trapping periods, total steelhead smolts counted, expanded counts (based on trap efficiency), and estimated total steelhead smolt production (based on estimates for missing parts of the emigration period) (source: MFM, unpublished trap data). ....	2-24
Table 2.5. Summary of adult steelhead observations at the Ozette River counting weir from 1999 through 2002 (source: MFM, unpublished sockeye weir data). ....	2-25
Table 3.1. Summary of Olsen's Beach RY 2000 spawning ground survey redd data (source: MFM, unpublished spawning ground survey data). ....	3-11
Table 3.2. Summary of Ozette River sockeye smolt trapping (modified from Jacobs et al. 1996; includes recent MFM unpublished smolt trapping data). ....	3-24
Table 3.3. Total number of fingerlings or fry and eggs produced from broodstock collected at Lake Ozette sockeye spawning beaches released at various locations in the watershed from 1984 through 2000 (modified from MFM 2000). ....	3-29
Table 3.4. Summary of HGMP sockeye fry and fingerling releases in the Ozette watershed for brood years 2000 through 2003 (source: MFM, unpublished hatchery release data). ....	3-32
Table 3.5. Estimated Lake Ozette sockeye run sizes, monitoring periods, and methods (Modified from Jacobs et al. 1996; MFM 2000). ....	3-41
Table 3.6. Estimated sockeye run sizes entering Lake Ozette for return year 1996 through 2003 (source: Haggerty 2004a, 2005a, 2005b, 2005c, 2005d). ....	3-42
Table 3.7. Tributary sockeye spawning ground surveys for BY 1977 and 1978 (source: Dlugokenski et al. 1981). ....	3-50
Table 3.8. Summary of Umbrella Creek sockeye spawning ground surveys for return years 1995 through 2004 (source: MFM, unpublished spawning ground survey data). .....	3-51
Table 3.9. Summary of Umbrella Creek run-size estimates, broodstock collected, and the estimated number of NORs within the run (source: Hinton et al. 2002; Peterschmidt and Hinton 2005; MFM, unpublished spawning ground surveys). ....	3-54

Table 3.10. Big River hatchery releases for BY 1999-2003 (source: MFM, unpublished hatchery release data).....	3-55
Table 3.11. Crooked Creek hatchery releases for BY 1996-1998 (source: MFM, unpublished hatchery release data). ....	3-56
Table 3.12. Summary of Umbrella Creek sockeye returns, their origin, and estimates of natural origin recruits per spawner for return years 1995-2004 (source: MFM 2000; Hinton et al. 2002; Peterschmidt and Hinton 2005; MFM, unpublished spawning ground surveys).....	3-58
Table 3.13. Estimated fingerling to smolt survival for brood years 2000-2003 ad-marked hatchery fingerlings released into Big River and Umbrella Creek (source: Peterschmidt and Hinton 2005; MFM, unpublished data).....	3-59
Table 4.1. Allen's Beach area dominant substrate categories, number of segments, length of substrate categories, and percentage of beach length within specified length categories (source: MFM, unpublished shoreline survey data). ....	4-8
Table 4.2. Comparison of inorganic nitrogen, organic nitrogen, total phosphorus, and orthophosphate from samples collected in 1976 and 1994 for three separate depth zones (source: Bortleson and Dion 1979; Meyer and Brenkman 2001).....	4-23
Table 4.3. Lake Ozette stage frequencies for the period of 1976-2005, using weighted skew coefficients (source: Shellberg 2003). ....	4-30
Table 4.4. Summary of water quality data collected in the Ozette River from July 21, 1993 through November 30, 1994 (source: Meyer and Brenkman 2001). ....	4-44
Table 4.5. Summary of water quality data collected in the Ozette River from January 15, 2004 through October 7, 2005 (source: MFM unpublished data). ....	4-45
Table 4.6. Summary of maximum daily stream temperature observations from the Ozette River during temperature monitoring from 1993 through 2005 (source MFM unpublished data, Meyer and Brenkman 2001). ....	4-45
Table 4.7. Summary of water quality data collected in Umbrella Creek from July 21, 1993 through November 30, 1994 (source: Meyer and Brenkman 2001). ....	4-72
Table 4.8. Summary of water quality data collected in Umbrella Creek from January 15, 2004 through October 7, 2005 (source: MFM unpublished water quality data). ..	4-72
Table 4.9. Summary of maximum daily stream temperature observations from lower Umbrella Creek during temperature monitoring from 1993 through 2005 (source: MFM unpublished data, Meyer and Brenkman 2001; Green Crow, unpublished data).....	4-73
Table 4.10. Road lengths within 200 feet of the bankfull edge of Big River and channel segment length. ....	4-82
Table 4.11. Summary of Big River pasture and residential development within 200 feet of the bankfull edge. ....	4-83
Table 4.12. Big River Pool Attributes Grouped by Primary Pool Forming Agent (source: Haggerty and Ritchie 2004). ....	4-91
Table 4.13. Summary of water quality data collected in Big River from July 21, 1993 through November 30, 1994 (source: Meyer and Brenkman 2001). ....	4-94
Table 4.14. Summary of water quality data collected from three sites in Big River from January 15, 2004 through October 7, 2005 (source: MFM, unpublished data). ....	4-95
Table 4.15. Summary of water quality data collected in Crooked Creek from July 20, 1993 through November 30, 1994 (source: Meyer and Brenkman 2001). ....	4-108

Table 4.16. Summary of maximum daily stream temperature observations from lower Crooked Creek during temperature monitoring from 1990 through 1997 (source: MFM, unpublished data; Klinge 1991; Meyer and Brenkman 2001).....	4-109
Table 4.17. Summary of water quality data collected in Crooked Creek from July 21, 1993 through November 30, 1994 (source: Meyer and Brenkman 2001). ....	4-118
Table 4.18. Summary of water quality data collected in Coal Creek from January 15, 2004 through October 7, 2005 (source: MFM, unpublished water quality data). ....	4-119
Table 4.19. Summary of maximum daily stream temperature observations from lower Umbrella Creek during temperature monitoring from 1997 through 2005 (sources: MFM QNR, and Green Crow, unpublished data).....	4-122
Table 4.20. Summary of water quality data collected in Siwash Creek from July 22, 1993 through October 18, 1994 (source: Meyer and Brenkman 2001). ....	4-133
Table 5.1. Proportion of Lake Ozette sockeye runs exposed to different temperature ranges during upstream migration and the potential biological effects (source: Haggerty 2004a, 2005a, and MFM unpublished data). ....	5-29
Table 5.2. Suspended sediment concentration (SSC) severity index values for different rainfall and SSC events in Coal Creek. Average and peak SSC based on MFM, unpublished water quality data. Severity indices based on Newcombe and Jensen (1996). ....	5-35
Table 5.3. Time-lapse video sockeye scarring rates for return years 1999 through 2003 (source: Haggerty 2004a, 2005a, 2005b, 2005c, 2005d). ....	5-38
Table 5.4. Summary of harbor seal activity at the Ozette River counting weir for RY 1999 through 2004 (source: Haggerty 2004a, 2005a, 2005b, 2005c, 2005d; MFM unpublished data). ....	5-40
Table 5.5. Pinniped predation observer effort during return year 2000 sockeye spawning season at four key locations along Lake Ozette (Gearin et al. 2002). ....	5-69
Table 5.6. Summary of streamflow statistics for Water Year 2005 (source: USGS and MFM unpublished streamflow data).....	5-77
Table 5.7. Estimated frequency and magnitude of peak stream discharges in Ozette tributaries. ....	5-77
Table 5.8. Generalized adjustment in stream geometry, pattern, and stability based on changes in flow and sediment discharge (Kellerhals and Church 1989; Knighton 1998; Downs and Gregory 2004), changes in base level (Downs and Gregory 2004), and changes in large woody debris. ....	5-83
Table 5.9. Sub-basin summary of road density, watershed disturbance, and hydrologic immaturity. (source: Ritchie, unpublished data; MFM, unpublished data) ....	5-84
Table 6.1. Limiting factors rating by life stage for both beach and tributary spawners during lake residency and adult holding. ....	6-3
Table 6.2. Limiting factors rating by life stage for beach spawners. ....	6-4
Table 6.3. Limiting factors rating by life stage for tributary spawners. ....	6-5

## LIST OF ACRONYMS/ABBREVIATIONS USED

<b>ATU</b>	Accumulated Thermal Unit
<b>BFD</b>	Bankfull Depth
<b>BFW</b>	Bankfull Width
<b>BIA</b>	Bureau of Indian Affairs
<b>BMP</b>	Best Management Practice
<b>BRT</b>	Biological Review Team
<b>BY</b>	Brood Year
<b>CART</b>	Combined Acoustic Radio Tag
<b>CCNWC</b>	Clallam County Noxious Weed Control Board
<b>cfs</b>	Cubic Feet per Second
<b>cfs/Mi<sup>2</sup></b>	Cubic Feet per Second per Square Mile
<b>CMZ</b>	Channel Migration Zone
<b>CW</b>	Channel Width
<b>DBH</b>	Diameter at Breast Height
<b>DEM</b>	Digital Elevation Model
<b>DFO</b>	Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada
<b>DHVS</b>	Distributed Hydrologic Vegetation Simulation Model
<b>ESA</b>	Endangered Species Act
<b>ESU</b>	Evolutionarily Significant Unit
<b>FL</b>	Fork Length
<b>GLO</b>	Government Land Office
<b>HCP</b>	Habitat Conservation Plan
<b>HEC-RAS</b>	Hydraulic Engineering Centers River Analysis System
<b>HGMP</b>	Hatchery and Genetic Management Plan
<b>HOF</b>	Hortonian Overland Flow
<b>HORs</b>	Hatchery Origin Recruits
<b>IHN</b>	Infectious Hematopoietic Necrosis
<b>IMST</b>	Independent Multidisciplinary Science Team
<b>IPCC</b>	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
<b>JRMP</b>	Joint Resource Management Plan
<b>LBT</b>	Left Bank Tributary
<b>LFA</b>	Limiting Factors Analysis
<b>LWD</b>	Large Woody Debris
<b>MDN</b>	Marine Derived Nutrients
<b>MF</b>	Makah Fisheries Management
<b>MMPA</b>	Marine Mammal Protection Act
<b>MSL</b>	Mean Sea Level
<b>NCDC</b>	National Climate Data Center
<b>NGVD 1929</b>	National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929
<b>NMFS</b>	National Marine Fisheries Service
<b>NMML</b>	National Marine Mammal Laboratory
<b>NOAA</b>	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

<b>NORs</b>	Natural Origin Recruits
<b>NPS</b>	National Park Service
<b>NWS</b>	National Weather Service
<b>ONF</b>	Olympic National Forest
<b>ONP</b>	Olympic National Park
<b>PFMC</b>	Pacific Fishery Management Council
<b>PNW</b>	Pacific Northwest
<b>POT</b>	Peaks Over Threshold
<b>PRISM</b>	Parameter-elevation Regressions on Independent Slopes Model
<b>PSC</b>	Pacific Salmon Commission
<b>RBT</b>	Right Bank Tributary
<b>QIN</b>	Quinault Indian Nation
<b>QNR</b>	Quileute Natural Resources
<b>RI</b>	Recurrence Interval
<b>RM</b>	River Mile
<b>RMIS</b>	Regional Mark Information System
<b>RMP</b>	Resource Management Plan
<b>RY</b>	Return Year
<b>SASSI</b>	Salmon and Steelhead Stock Inventory
<b>SCAS</b>	Spatial Climate Analysis Service
<b>SEAK</b>	Southeast Alaska
<b>SL</b>	Standard Length
<b>SSC</b>	Suspended Sediment Concentration
<b>SSHAP</b>	Salmon Steelhead Habitat Inventory and Assessment Project
<b>TE</b>	Trap efficiency
<b>TFW</b>	Timber, Fish, and Wildlife
<b>TL</b>	Total length
<b>TRT</b>	Technical Recovery Team
<b>UJNR</b>	United States and Japan Natural Resources
<b>USBOR</b>	United States Bureau of Reclamation
<b>USCG</b>	United States Coast Guard
<b>USFS</b>	United States Forest Service
<b>USGS</b>	United States Geological Survey
<b>USFWS</b>	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
<b>VSP</b>	Viable Salmonid Population
<b>WAU</b>	Watershed Administrative Unit
<b>WSVI</b>	West Coast Vancouver Island
<b>WDF</b>	Washington Department of Fisheries (now WDFW)
<b>WDFW</b>	Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife
<b>WDNR</b>	Washington State Department of Natural Resources
<b>WDOE</b>	Washington State Department of Ecology
<b>WFPB</b>	Washington State Forest Practice Board
<b>WRIA</b>	Water Resource Inventory Area

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The synthesis of past and present research in the Lake Ozette watershed and beyond would not be possible without the dedication of dozens of scientists and others who have been directly involved with Ozette sockeye on the ground and in the office over the last 30 years. The authors would like to thank past and present scientists and staff from the Makah Indian Tribe (John Blum, Gwen Bridge, Larry Cooke, Vince Cooke, Ned Currence, Denise Dailey, Joe Hinton, Mike Hunter, Steve Joner, Mark LaRiviere, William Lawrence, Steff Lucas, William Mahone Sr., Darrell Markishtum, Ringo McGimpsey, Thomas Parker, Caroline Peterschmidt, Dave Sones, Russell Svec, Lance Wilke), Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (Chris Byrnes, Randy Cooper, Tim Rymer), NOAA Fisheries (Tim Tynan), Olympic National Park Service staff (Bill Baccus, Sam Brenkman, Pat Crain, Dan Larson, Sanny Lustig, John Meyer). If we have inadvertently missed anyone, we apologize and thank you, too, for your efforts.

The content of this report is the result of thousands of hours of discussions and efforts over the last 25 years, but especially the last seven, within the Lake Ozette Sockeye Steering Committee. The authors would like to thank all the members of the group for their dedication and tireless review of this document. Members of this group included:

- Clallam County (Joel Freudenthal, Cathy Lear)
- Governors Salmon Recovery Office (Phil Miller)
- Local Citizens and Landowners (Ed Bowen, Coleman Byrnes, Don Hamerquist, Randi Knox, Janeen Porter, Rob Snyder)
- Local Timber Companies
  - Consultants (Doug Martin, Jim Rochelle)
  - Green Crow (Tyler Crow, Harry Bell)
  - Merrill and Ring (Joe Murray, Wendy Sammarco, Norm Schaff)
  - Rayonier Timberlands (Ian MacIver)
- Makah Indian Tribe (Lyle Almond, Gwen Bridge, Jeremy Gillman, Joe Hinton, Micah McCarty, Caroline Peterschmidt, Russell Svec, Dave Sones)
- North Olympic Peninsula Lead Entity (Selinda Barkhuis)
- Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission (Debbie Preston)
- NOAA Fisheries (Rosemary Furfey, Tom Hooper, Matt Longenbaugh, Susan Pultz, Tim Tynan)
- NOAA- National Marine Mammal Laboratory (Pat Gearin)
- NOAA- Northwest Fisheries Science Center (George Pess)
- Olympic National Park (Sam Brenkman, Pat Crain, Dan Larson, John Meyer)
- Puget Sound Technical Recovery Team (Ken Currens, Bob Fuerstenberg, Bill Graeber, Kit Rawson, Mary Ruckelshaus)
- Quileute Tribe (Frank Geyer, Katie Krueger, Kris Northcutt)
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (Derek Poon)



- Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (Jeff Haymes, David Low, Ann Shaffer)
- Washington State Department of Natural Resources (Seth Barnes, Dave Christensen, Marcus Johns, Jim Springer)

Funding for document preparation came primarily from the Makah Indian Tribe, the Pacific Coast Salmon Recovery Fund, and NOAA Fisheries, but the distributed salaries of numerous agency employees and individuals were the foundation of the report.

Special thanks go to the cultural integrity maintained over the last 30 years by members of the Makah Indian Tribe, the Makah Tribal Council, and Makah Fisheries Department, who have refused to give up their special connection to the Ozette Watershed and these unique sockeye salmon.